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#### Abstract

In this paper we discuss the local solvability of the inhomogeneous Beltrami equations in Quaternionic Analysis. We give an example of a Beltrami equation with no distributional solution and deduce the compatibility condition. This study is closely linked to the study of Dirac operators with non-constant coefficients.
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## 1. Introduction

Beltrami equations in higher dimensions are a quite old and at the same time quite recent topic. While A. Newlander and L. Nirenberg already studied a Beltrami equation in several complex variables in 1957 [15] and V. Shevshenko [16] proposed a quaternionic version in 1962 the study of Beltrami systems in Clifford analysis started effectively only in the nineties with the paper by K. Gürlebeck and U. Kähler [7] where the authors gave a solution for $q \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ under the natural condition $\|q\|_{L_{2}}<q_{0} \leq 1$. In fact the original condition was given as $\|q\|<q_{0} \leq 1 /\|\Pi\|$ where $\Pi$ denotes the generalized $\Pi$-operator, but as they later showed the norm of this operator as an operator from $L_{2}(\Omega)$ into $L_{2}(\Omega)$ equals to one. The question of the $L_{p}$-norm for the $\Pi$-operator (or Beurling-Ahlfors operator) is still an open question (the famous conjecture of Ivaniec). Also, K. Gürlebeck, U. Kähler, and M. Shapiro studied quaternionic Beltrami equations based on structural sets [8].

[^1]Later on the same authors together with P. Cerejeiras and H. Malonek studied the question of local homeomorphic solutions in the quaternionic and Clifford setting [4], [3]. That the topic is still under discussion can be seen in the paper by A. Koski [12] where Beltrami equations with q being a VMO function are discussed.

Lately, there also appeared several papers which discuss Dirac operators with non-constant coefficients. One of the principal problems in discussing such kind of operators is the question of local solvability, i.e. the question when $D u=f$ with $D$ being a Dirac operator with non-constant coefficients and $\Omega$ being a neighborhood of a point $x_{0}$, has for any $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ a (distributional) solution $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$.

From the famous theorem of Malgrange-Ehrenpreis we know that in the case of differential equations involving the Dirac operator and constant coefficients we always have a solution. This is not anymore true in the case of non-constant coefficients, of which the Beltrami equation is a simple example. In fact the Beltrami equation can always we rewritten as a Dirac equation with non-constant coefficients. In this paper we will adapt the famous Lewy's example to construct an inhomogeneous Beltrami equation which has no solution in any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Afterwards we will discuss the $(\Psi)$ condition and derive the condition for local solvability.

## 2. Preliminaries

The algebra of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ is a four-dimensional real associative division algebra with unit 1 spanned by the elements $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ endowed with the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{1}^{2}=e_{2}^{2}=e_{3}^{2}=-1 \\
e_{1} e_{2}=-e_{2} e_{1}=e_{3}, e_{2} e_{3}=-e_{3} e_{2}=e_{1}, e_{1} e_{3}=-e_{3} e_{1}=e_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This algebra is a non-commutative field. The real and vectorial parts of a given quaternion

$$
q=x_{0} 1+x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+x_{3} e_{3}
$$

are defined as $\operatorname{Re}(q)=q_{0}:=x_{0} \in \operatorname{Sc} \mathbb{H}$, and $\operatorname{Vec}(q)=\underline{q}:=x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+x_{3} e_{3} \in$ VecH. Therefore, in contrast to complex numbers, $q$ is not a real number. We have natural embeddings of the real numbers and of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ into quaternions given by
$x_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow x_{0} 1 \in \mathrm{ScH} \quad$ and $\quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+x_{3} e_{3} \in \mathrm{Vec} \mathbb{H}$.
Therefore, we have the identifications $\mathbb{H} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{4}, ~ V e c \mathbb{H} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathrm{Sc} \mathbb{H} \equiv \mathbb{R}$, where VecHi denotes the three dimensional space of imaginary quaternions, and $\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Also of interest is the algebra of complexified quaternions, $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}$, with elements of type

$$
q=z_{0} 1+z_{1} e_{1}+z_{2} e_{2}+z_{3} e_{3}=z_{0}+\underline{q}, \quad z_{j} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

There is a suitable conjugation on $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}$, given by the operating rules

$$
q=z_{0}+\underline{q} \quad \mapsto \quad \bar{q}=\bar{z}_{0}-\bar{z}_{1} e_{1}-\bar{z}_{2} e_{2}-\bar{z}_{3} e_{3}
$$

and satisfying to the involution property $\overline{q p}=\bar{p} \bar{q}$. The Euclidean scalar product is defined by $\langle q, p\rangle=\operatorname{Re}(q \bar{p})=\frac{1}{2}(q \bar{p}+p \bar{q})$ and the corresponding norm $\|q\|^{2}=\langle q, q\rangle$ verifies $\|q p\|=\|q\|\|p\|$.

The quaternionic multiplication can be expressed in terms of the usual scalar and vector product on $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Vec} \mathbb{H} \equiv \mathbb{C}^{3}$ by

$$
q p=\left(z_{0}+\underline{q}\right)\left(w_{0}+\underline{p}\right)=z_{0} w_{0}-\underline{q} \cdot \underline{p}+z_{0} \underline{p}+w_{0} \underline{q}+\underline{q} \times \underline{p} .
$$

For more details we refer to the books $[9,6]$.
Consider now a basis $\varphi=\left\{\varphi_{0}, \ldots, \varphi_{3}\right\} \in \mathbb{H}^{4}$, also called a structural set (originally introduced by M. Shapiro and his co-authors, e.g. [14]) and the operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{\varphi} D u & =\sum_{k=0}^{3} \varphi_{k} \partial_{k} u  \tag{2.1}\\
{ }^{\varphi} D_{l} u & =\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \varphi_{k} \partial_{k} u+\overline{\varphi_{l}} \partial_{l} u+\sum_{k=l+1}^{3} \varphi_{k} \partial_{k} u \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In 1962 Shevchenko considered the following Beltrami equation [16]:

$$
{ }^{\varphi} D u=q_{1}{ }^{\varphi} D_{1} u+q_{2}{ }^{\varphi} D_{2} u+q_{3}^{\varphi} D_{3} u .
$$

which contains as a special case the usual Beltrami equation ${ }^{\varphi} D u-q^{\psi} D u=0$, where $\psi$ denotes another (in general) different basis. Let us now consider in the rest of the paper its inhomogeneous version ${ }^{\varphi} D u-q^{\psi} D u=F$. The principal question is of course does this equation has a (at least local) solution if $F$ is not analytic, e.g. $F \in C^{\infty}$ ?

To keep the example simple we restrict ourselves to the three-dimensional case, without any loss of generality. Consider now the following bases $\varphi=\left\{1, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and $\psi=\left\{1, e_{1},-e_{2}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

Theorem 2.1. Consider the equation

$$
{ }^{\varphi} D u+\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}}{\frac{1}{4}+x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}{ }^{\psi} D u=\left(-\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}\right) F
$$

for $F \in C^{1}$ in a neighbourhood of $\left(0,0, x_{3}^{0}\right)$. If $u$ is solution in a neighbourhood of $\left(0,0, x_{3}^{0}\right)$ which belongs to $C^{1}$ then $F\left(x_{3}\right)$ is analytic at $x_{3}^{0}$.

Note that by the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem we will have a solution if $F$ is analytic.

The above equation can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D u-\left(\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D_{3} u=F\left(x_{3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the following change of variable $x_{0}+e_{1} x_{1}=r e^{e_{1} \theta}$. Then we can rewrite

$$
\partial_{x_{0}}+e_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}=\frac{x_{0}+e_{1} x_{1}}{r^{2}}\left(\partial_{\ln r}+e_{1} \partial_{\theta}\right)
$$

and integrate $\left(\partial_{x_{0}}+e_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}\right) u$ over circles $x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}=r^{2}=$ const. This leads to

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\partial_{x_{0}}+e_{1} \partial_{x_{1}}\right) u d \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{e_{1} \theta}}{r}\left(\partial_{\ln r}+e_{1} \partial_{\theta}\right) u d \theta
$$

Via integration by parts we get

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{e_{1} \theta}}{r} e_{1} \partial_{\theta} u d \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{e_{1} \theta}}{r} u d \theta
$$

Furthermore, since we have $2 \partial_{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} u\right)=\frac{1}{r}\left(\partial_{\ln r} u+u\right)$ we get

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{e_{1} \theta}}{r}\left(\partial_{\ln r}+e_{1} \partial_{\theta}\right) u d \theta=2 \partial_{r^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{e_{1} \theta} r u d \theta
$$

If we denote $y=r^{2}$ and consider the function

$$
U\left(y, x_{3}\right)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{e_{1} \theta} r u d \theta
$$

as well as the function $G\left(x_{3}\right)$ such that $G^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{3}\right)$ then we get

$$
\left(\partial_{x_{3}}+e_{1} \partial_{y}\right) U\left(x_{3}, y\right)=\pi G^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)
$$

with $U\left(x_{3}, 0\right)=0$. But this implies that the function

$$
V\left(y, x_{3}\right)=U\left(y, x_{3}\right)-\pi G
$$

is analytic in a domain which includes all points $\left(y, x_{3}\right)$ with $y>0$ being sufficiently small. Since $V=-\pi G$ for $y=0$ with $G$ being real-valued we can extend $V$ analytically across $y=0$. Therefore, $V$ is analytic in zero and, consequently, so is $G$. But this also implies that $F$ is analytic.

## 3. Example of Beltrami Equation with No Solution

The previous example can be modified such that our Beltrami equation has no solution in the Hölder space $C^{1, \alpha}$. To this end we need to construct a new right-hand side $F$. We consider a set of points $\left\{P_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $P_{j}=\left(p_{j}, q_{j}, s_{j}\right)$. Furthermore we consider a sequence of spheres $U_{j}$ each centered at $P_{j}$ and with radius $\rho_{j}$, such that $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{j}=0$. Any arbitrary set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ contains at least some of the spheres $U_{j}$. Let us denote by $c_{j}=\max \left(j,\left|p_{j}\right|,\left|q_{j}\right|\right)$.

Consider now a sequence $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$
\|\epsilon\|=\lim \sup \left|\epsilon_{j}\right|<\infty .
$$

Keep in mind that the space of these sequences with the above norm forms a Banach space and, therefore, cannot be exhausted by a countable sum of non-dense sets.

Given a periodic real-valued $C^{\infty}$-function $\Phi$, depending only on $x_{2}$ and being nowhere analytic we can consider the following function

$$
F_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{j} c_{j}^{-\epsilon_{j}} \Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}+2 q_{j} x_{0}-2 p_{j} x_{1}\right)
$$

Is is easy to see that $F_{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}$ since we have that for any multi-index $\nu=$ $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$

$$
\partial_{x_{0}, x_{1}}^{\nu} F_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{j} c_{j}^{-\epsilon_{j}} \Phi^{|\nu|+1}\left(x_{2} 2 q_{j} x_{0}-2 p_{j} x_{1}\right) q_{j}^{\nu_{1}}\left(-p_{j}\right)^{\nu_{2}} 2^{|\nu|}
$$

Note that the series converges absolutely and uniformly. This happens because $\Phi^{|\nu|+1}$ is periodic and, therefore, bounded while $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j}^{-c_{j}+\nu}<\infty$.

All of this leads to the point that it is enough to show the existence of a sequence $\epsilon^{\star}$ such that for $F=F^{\star}$ there is no open set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ on which our equation has a solution in $C^{1, \alpha}$.

Let us denote by $C_{m}^{1,1 / n}$ the set of all functions whose first derivatives satisfy a Hölder condition of exponent $1 / n$ and constant $m$. Clearly, one has $C^{1, \alpha}=\sum_{n, m} C_{m}^{1,1 / n}$. Furthermore, all functions $f \in C_{m}^{1,1 / n}$ which vanish at $P_{j}$ form a compact subset, which implies that all functions $f \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(U_{j}\right)$ which vanish at $P_{j}$ belong to a countable sum of compact sets. Now, let $E_{j, n, m}$ denote the set of all sequences $\epsilon$ such that for $F=F_{\epsilon}$ our Beltrami equation has a solution in $U_{j}$ which belongs to $C_{m}^{1,1 / n}$. It is easy to see that $E_{j, n, m}$ is closed.

Consider now the sequence $\epsilon=\delta^{j}=\left(\delta_{1, j}, \delta_{2, j}, \ldots\right)$. Then our equation (2.3) becomes

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D u-\left(\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} x_{0}-e_{2} x_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D_{3} u=\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}+2 q_{j} x_{0}-2 p_{j} x_{1}\right)
$$

taking $F=F_{\delta}^{j}$. With the substitution

$$
z_{0}=x_{0}-p_{j}, z_{1}=x_{1}-q_{j}, y_{1}=x_{2}-2 q_{j} x_{0}+2 p_{j} x_{1}
$$

we get

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} z_{0}-e_{2} z_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D u-\left(\frac{1}{2}+e_{3} z_{0}-e_{2} z_{1}\right)^{\varphi} D_{3} u=\Phi^{\prime}\left(y_{1}\right)
$$

and, since a $C^{1}$-solution (2.3) would imply that the above equation has a $C^{1}$-solution in a neighborhood of $\left(0,0, y_{1}\right)$ which we showed that it is not possible in the previous section.

In fact, using the arguments from the classic paper of Hörmander [10] we know that the considered Beltrami equation cannot even have a distributional solution.

## 4. Remark on the Beltrami Equation and the Hörmander Condition - Compatibility Relations

Now, let us take a closer look at the problem of local solvability. There is the well-known theorem about local solvability for scalar differential operators based on the $(\Psi)$-condition by Nirenberg and Trèves. Unfortunately, there is no similar condition for general systems of PDE's. But such a condition exists if the systems are of type $N \times N$, c.f. [5].

Our case here is simpler due to the quaternionic structure, since it provides linear independence, which in turns implies that this case reduces to a more classic approach. For the sake of simplicity we will only consider the case of ${ }^{\psi} D u-q^{\bar{\psi}} D u=F$ and $\psi_{0}=1$, whose symbol is given by

$$
p(\xi, x)=i \xi-q(x) \bar{\xi}
$$

The other cases are given by adding an orthogonal transformation to $q$ which makes the calculations more complicated without adding any principal changes.

The interesting points are the (non-trivial) zeros of the symbol $p(\xi, x)=$ 0 . Since,

$$
p(\xi, x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\xi^{2}}{|\xi|^{2}}=q(x)
$$

it is easy to see that there are no other zeros if $|q(x)|<1$, i.e. the Beltrami equation is elliptic. But without that condition, there are other zeros and, therefore, no ellipticity.

Furthermore, we remark that the gradient in $\xi$, denoted by $\nabla_{\xi}$, applied to $p$ is given by

$$
\nabla_{\xi} p=\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)+q \overline{\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1+q_{0}+\underline{q}  \tag{4.4}\\
q_{1}+\left(1-q_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}-q_{3} \varphi_{2}+q_{2} \varphi_{3} \\
q_{2}+q_{3} \varphi_{1}+\left(1-q_{0}\right) \varphi_{2}-q_{1} \varphi_{3} \\
q_{3}-q_{2} \varphi_{1}+q_{1} \varphi_{2}+\left(1+q_{0}\right) \varphi_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is is easy to see that the gradient never vanishes since this would require $1+q_{0}=1-q_{0}=0$. Therefore, our operator is of principal type. Even more, the components in the gradient in $\xi$ of $p$ are linearly independent which means that our operator is principally normal at any point $x_{0}$.

Let us take now a look at the Poisson bracket

$$
\{\bar{p}, p\}:=\left\langle\nabla_{\xi} \bar{p}, \nabla_{x} p\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{x} \bar{p}, \nabla_{\xi} p\right\rangle,
$$

where $\nabla_{\xi}$ and $\nabla_{x}$ denote the gradient with respect to $\xi$ and $x$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the real scalar bilinear form applied to quaternionic vectors. Because we have in our case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla_{\xi} \bar{p}, \nabla_{x} p\right\rangle & =(-i) i \sum_{j}\left[\overline{\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)}+\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right) \bar{q}\right]_{j}\left[\left(\nabla_{x} q\right) \bar{\xi}\right]_{j} \\
\left\langle\nabla_{\xi} \bar{p}, \nabla_{x} p\right\rangle & =(-i) i \sum_{j}\left[\xi\left(\nabla_{x} \bar{q}\right)\right]_{j}\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)+q \overline{\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)}\right]_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\{\bar{p}, p\}=2 \mathrm{Vec} \sum_{j}\left[\overline{\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)}+\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right) \bar{q}\right]_{j}\left[\left(\nabla_{x} q\right) \bar{\xi}\right]_{j}
$$

A direct calculation gives

$$
\{\bar{p}, p\}=2 \operatorname{Vec}\left(\left((1+\bar{q})-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \varphi_{j}(1-\bar{q}) \partial_{x_{j}} q\right) \bar{\xi}\right)
$$

There is a better way to study the term $\{\bar{p}, p\}$ by using

$$
\left(\xi \nabla_{x} \bar{q}\right):=\left(A_{0}^{j}+\underline{A}^{j}\right)=\xi_{0} \partial_{x_{j}} q_{0}+\underline{\xi} \partial_{x_{j}} \underline{q}-\xi_{0} \partial_{x_{j}} \underline{q}+\left(\partial_{x_{j}} q_{0}\right) \underline{\xi}-\xi \wedge\left(\partial x_{j} \underline{q}\right)
$$

and (4.4), i.e.

$$
\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)+q \overline{\left(\nabla_{\xi} \xi\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1+q_{0}+\underline{q} \\
q_{1}+\left(1-q_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}-q_{3} \varphi_{2}+q_{2} \varphi_{3} \\
q_{2}+q_{3} \varphi_{1}+\left(1-q_{0}\right) \varphi_{2}-q_{1} \varphi_{3} \\
q_{3}-q_{2} \varphi_{1}+q_{1} \varphi_{2}+\left(1+q_{0}\right) \varphi_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Denoting each component of the last vector by $B_{0}^{j}+\underline{B}^{j}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\bar{p}, p\}=2 \sum_{j}\left(A_{0}^{j} \underline{B}^{j}+B_{0}^{j} \underline{A}^{j}+\underline{A}^{j} \wedge \underline{B}^{j}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form the term $\{\bar{p}, p\}$ is easier to study. For instance, example (2.3) gives us $\{\bar{p}, p\}=-8 e_{1} \xi_{3}$.

In his paper [10] L. Hörmander gives a necessary condition for local solvability, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\xi, x_{0}\right)=0 \Rightarrow\{\bar{p}, p\}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For principally normal operators that condition is also known to be sufficient. Applied to our case we arrive at the following theorem

Theorem 4.1. The Beltrami equation ${ }^{\psi} D u=q^{\bar{\psi}} D u$ is locally solvable at $x_{0}$ if and only if for $\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)^{2}=q\left(x_{0}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vec}\left(\left((1+\bar{q})-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \varphi_{j}(1-\bar{q}) \partial_{x_{j}} q\right) \bar{\xi}\right)=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition of the theorem is easier to verify in the form (4.5), i.e.

$$
\sum_{j}\left(A_{0}^{j} \underline{B}^{j}+B_{0}^{j} \underline{A}^{j}+\underline{A}^{j} \wedge \underline{B}^{j}\right)=0 .
$$

In our example (2.3) we have $\{\bar{p}, p\}=-8 e_{1} \xi_{3} \neq 0$. Condition (4.7) can also be seen as the compatibility condition for our Beltrami equation, c.f. [15].
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