# **Digests, Integrity Control and Key Derivation**



#### **Overview**

- Produce a digital **summary** of data called a **message digest**
	- ─ Data is a text or any binary information
- The message digest **length is fixed**
	- ─ independently of the text length
		- Both a 200 bytes and a 200 TB data items will result in a digest with the same length
- The message digest value **strongly depends** on the data
- Two digests are typically **very different**
	- ─ Even if the original data is extremely similar

#### **Properties**

- Preimage resistance
	- ─ Given a digest, it is unfeasible to find an original text producing it
	- ─ That is: we cannot go back from a digest to the data (we cannot "decrypt" it)
- 2nd-preimage resistance
	- ─ Given a text, it is unfeasible to find another one with the same digest
	- ─ That is: if we **have a text**, we cannot find another one with the same digest
- Collision resistance
	- ─ It is unfeasible to find any two texts with the same digest
	- ─ That is: given two unique texts, they will result **in a different digest**
		- Relates to the Birthday paradox: Collision probability  $P = 2^{n/2}$  where the typical *n* is  $>= 256$

#### **Lets check: Size independence**

- Considering the similar, yet different texts:
	- T1: "Hello User A!"
	- T2: "Hello User XPTO! Welcome to this lecture"
- Different algorithms will create digests with different lengths, but **independent** from the dimension of the text
	- ─ MD5 (128 bits):
		- T1: 70df836fdaf02e0dfc990f9139762541
		- T2: 18f12f09c45d880ce738afe4780c2f3e
	- $-$  SHA-1 (160 bits):
		- T1: f591aa1eabcc97fb39c5f422b370ddf8cb880fde
		- T2: 622f7832e204f2d70161cf42480c4bf0f13e7324
	- $-$  SHA-256 (256 bits):
		- T1: 9649d8c0d25515a239ec8ec94b293c8868e931ad318df4ccd0dffd67aff89905
		- T2: 6453be3f643d0a7e9b5890eed76bb63df8b6b071b30d5f97269a530c289b9839

#### **Lets check: Content dependency**

- Considering the similar, yet different texts (1 bit difference 'B' -> 'C'):
	- ─ T1: "Hello User\_B!", [0x48, 0x65, 0x6c, 0x6c, 0x6f, 0x20, 0x55, 0x73, 0x65, 0x72, 0x5f, **0x42**, 0x21]
	- ─ T2: "Hello User\_C!", [0x48, 0x65, 0x6c, 0x6c, 0x6f, 0x20, 0x55, 0x73, 0x65, 0x72, 0x5f, **0x43**, 0x21]
- A small difference in the text (1 bit) results in a **completely different digest**
	- $-$  MD5:
		- T1: c32e0f62a7c9c815063d373acac80c37
		- T2: 324a1bfc3041259480c6ad164cf0529f
	- $-$  SHA-1:
		- T1: bab31eb62f961266758524071a7ad8221bc8700b
		- T2: bd758d82899d132cd2af66dc3402b948d98de62d
	- $-$  SHA-256:
		- T1: e663a01d3bec4f35a470aba4baccece79bf484b5d0bffa88b59a9bb08707758a
		- T2: 69f78345da90c6b8d4785b769cd6ae09e0531716fe5f5a392fde1bdc70a2bb7d

#### **Approaches**

- Merkle-Damgård construction
	- $-$  Collision-resistant, one-way compression functions
		- Can be a block cipher!
	- ─ Iterative compression
	- ─ Length padding
	- ─ Digest size is the last block
	- ─ Can be resumed!
		- Digest is the state at  $T_n$
	- ─ Algorithms: MD5, SHA1, SHA2



### **Approaches**

- Sponge functions
	- ─ Data split in *r* sized blocks
	- ─ Absorbing phase: chained f(r) calls
	- ─ Squeezing: extract bits for digest value
	- ─ Algorithms: SHA3



## **Message Integrity Code (MIC)**

- Provide the capability to detect **arbitrary** changes to data
	- ꟷ Communication/storage errors from a random process or without integrity control
	- $-$  Humans/Attackers can change the Text and calculate a new MIC!
- MIC is a simple calculation of a digest over some data: MIC=H(T)
	- ꟷ Sender calculates MIC and sends along with the Text
	- ꟷ Receiver calculates new MIC' from received message (T') and compares it with MIC



### **Example usage at kernel.org to validate file integrity**





### **Message Authentication Code (MAC)**

- Provide the capability to detect **deliberate** changes to data
	- ꟷ Any change to data, even if from attackers!
- MAC is a keyed calculation of a digest over some data: MIC=H(T, **K**)
	- $-$  Parties agree with Key K, which is kept private to participants
	- ꟷ Sender calculates **MAC** using **K** and sends along with the **Text**
	- ꟷ Receiver calculates new MAC from received message (T') and **K** and compares it with MAC



Validator

## **Example usage in JWT**

**Encoded** PASTE A TOKEN HERE



#### **Decoded** EDIT THE PAYLOAD AND SECRET



[https://jwt.io/#debugger-io?token=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJzdWIiOiIxMjM0NTY3ODkwIiwibmFtZSI6IkpvaG4gRG9lIiwiaWF0IjoxNTE2MjM5MDIyfQ.\\_sytI9TdagSl-vSnVExnCuD46OQVKX7BxQR1YomY9cA](https://jwt.io/#debugger-io?token=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJzdWIiOiIxMjM0NTY3ODkwIiwibmFtZSI6IkpvaG4gRG9lIiwiaWF0IjoxNTE2MjM5MDIyfQ._sytI9TdagSl-vSnVExnCuD46OQVKX7BxQR1YomY9cA)

### **Message Authentication Code (MAC)**

### **Approaches**

- Encryption of an ordinary digest (e.g. from SHA3)
	- ─ Using, for instance, a symmetric block cipher
- Using encryption with feedback & error propagation
	- ─ CBC-MAC or GCM
- Adding a key to the hashed data
	- ─ Keyed-MD5 (128 bits)
		- MD5(K, keyfill, text, K, MD5fill)
	- ─ HMAC (output length depends on the function H used)
		- H(K, opad, H(K, ipad, text))
		- ipad =  $0x36$  B times opad =  $0x5C$  B times B = size of H input block
			- HMAC-MD5, HMAC-SHA-1, etc.

## **Message Authentication Code (MAC)**

#### **When used with encryption**

#### • Encrypt-then-MAC: MAC is computed from cryptogram: M = C | MAC(C, K<sub>2</sub>), C=E(T, K<sub>1</sub>)

- ─ **Allows verifying integrity before decryption**
- ─ **MAC calculation is frequently faster than decryption**

- Encrypt-and-MAC: MAC is computed from plaintext: M = E(T, K<sub>1</sub>) | MAC(T, K<sub>2</sub>)
	- ─ May give information regarding original text (if similar to other text)
	- ─ Receiver will find that text was manipulated **only after decryption plus MAC calculation (slower)**
	- ─ Manipulated ciphertext may attack the decryption algorithm without detection
- MAC-then-Encrypt: MAC is computed from plaintext: M = E( T | MAC(T, K<sub>2</sub>), K<sub>1</sub>)
	- ─ MAC is encrypted (which is not bad)
	- ─ Receiver will find that text was manipulated **only after decryption plus MAC calculation (slower)**
	- ─ Manipulated ciphertext may attack the decryption algorithm without detection

### **Example: GCM (Galois Counter Mode)**



João Paulo Barraca, André Zúquete 14

#### **Motivation**

- Cipher algorithms require fixed dimension keys
	- ─ 56, 128, 256… bits
- We may need to derive keys from multiple sources
	- ─ Shared secrets
	- ─ Passwords generated by humans
	- ─ PIN codes and small length secrets
- Original source may have low entropy
	- ─ Reduces the difficulty of a brute force attack
	- ─ Although we must have some strong relation into a useful key
- Sometimes we need multiple keys from the same material
	- While not allowing to find the material (a password, another key) from the new key

#### **Purposes**

- **Key reinforcement**: increase the security of a password
	- ─ Usually defined by humans
	- ─ To make dictionary attacks impractical

### • **Key expansion**: increase/decrease the length of a key

- ─ Expansion to a size that suits an algorithm
- ─ Eventually derive other related keys for other algorithms (e.g. MAC)

- Key derivation requires the existence of:
	- ꟷ A **Salt** which makes the derivation unique
	- $-$  A difficult problem
	- ꟷ A chosen level of complexity
- Computational difficulty
	- ꟷ Transformation requires relevant computational resources
- Memory difficulty
	- ꟷ Transformation requires relevant storage resources
	- ꟷ Limits attacks using dedicated hardware accelerators

#### **Simple Approach: A Digest function**

- Arguments:
	- ─ Salt = A random value
	- ─ Password = a secret (provided by humans)
	- ─ H = An adequate Digest Function

#### **key = H(password, salt)**

- Advantages:
	- ─ Key has a large length, and can be truncated to the adequate length
	- ─ Two passwords will result in diferent keys
	- ─ Finding the key will not lead to the password
- Issues: simple, enabling brute force/diccionary attacks

#### **Password Based Key Derivation Function (PBKDF2)**

• Produces a key from a password, with a chosen difficulty

#### • **K = PBKDF2(PRF, Salt, rounds, dim, password)**

- ─ PRF: Pseudo-Random-Function: a digest function
- ─ Salt: a random value
- ─ Rounds: the computational cost (hundreds of thousands)
- ─ Dim: the size of the result required
- Operation: calculate ROUNDS x DIM operations of the PRF using the SALT and Password
	- Higher number of rounds will increase the cost of brute force/diccionary attacks

#### **Password Based Key Derivation Function (PBKDF2)**



**Rounds**

#### **scrypt**

- Produces a key with a chosen computation and storage cost
- **K = scrypt(password, salt, n, p, dim, r, hLen, Mflen)**
	- ─ Password: a secret
	- ─ Salt: a random value
	- ─ N: the cost parameter
	- ─ P: the parallelization parameter. p ≤ (232− 1) \* hLen / MFLen
	- ─ Dim: the size of the result
	- ─ R: the size of the blocks to use (default is 8)
	- ─ hLen: the size of the digest function (32 for SHA256)
	- ─ Mflen: bytes in the internal mix (default is 8 x R)

### **Key Derivation: scrypt**

• Produces a key with a chosen storage cost

- K = scrypt(password, salt, n, p, dim, r, hLen, Mflen)
	- ꟷ Password: a secret
	- ꟷ Salt: a random value
	- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  N: the cost parameter
	- ꟷ P: the parallelization parameter. p ≤ (2<sup>32</sup>− 1) \* hLen / MFLen
	- $-$  Dim: the size of the result
	- $-$  R: the size of the blocks to use (default is 8)
	- ꟷ hLen: the size of the digest function (32 for SHA256)
	- $-$  Mflen: bytes in the internal mix (default is 8 x R)

