Identity management



Digital identity

David W. Chadwick. "Federated Identity Management". Springer. 2009.

- An arbitrary set of attributes of an entity
 - Which can be segregated in different contexts



- Only a subset of those attributes is used to unequivocally recognize the entity in each context
 - Those attributes are called (contextual) identifiers

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Identity_management#/media/File:Identity-concept.svg



Identity Manager (IdM)

> An entity (service) that manages identity profiles in each context

- Creates / deletes identity profiles
- Collects attributes to profiles
- Updates attributes in profiles

⊳ Goal

- Identification
- Authentication
- Authorization / access control
- Accounting
- Surveillance / tracking



Identity Provider (IdP)

> A service that provides identity attributes belonging to a subject

- As assertions (statements that one strongly believes are true)
- > Assertions possess identity claims
 - Usually, tuples (attribute name, attribute value)

▷ An IdP can provide different sets of attributes to different requesters

- Need-to-know principle
- Privacy issues
- Protection rules



Authoritative source

Description Top-most IdM responsible for providing a given identity attribute of a subject

Aka Attribute Authority

- > There should be only one
 - From a logical perspective
 - The instance could be replicated for redundancy



Identity claim

Statement that someone makes about the identity of itself or another subject

- IdMs / IdPs are claim providers
 - They provide sets of identity claims packed in <u>assertions</u>
- > Assertions are clear statements regarding an identity
 - Should be trusted: because of their origin or by cryptographic means



Approach 1: Isolated, or Silo-oriented IdM

▷ Per-service IdM

- No relation between services in the organization (or world)
- Identity attributes are not shared among services
 - Duplication
 - · Each person would have an identity profile on each service
 - Each service must ensure proper protection mechanisms
 - Not scalable for users, nor user-friendly
 - Unless you use the same identifiers and authentication credentials
 - But possibly better against identity theft!
 - Unless you use the same identifiers and authentication credentials ...
 - Onboarding and Offboarding issues
 - Need to provision / remove / disable identities across all services



Approach 1: Isolated, or Silo-oriented IdM



Grüner, Andreas & Mühle, Alexander & Lockenvitz, Niko & Meinel, Christoph. (2023). Analyzing and comparing the security of selfsovereign identity management systems through threat modeling. International Journal of Information Security. 22. 1-18. 10.1007/s10207-023-00688-w.



Approach 2: Aggregated IdM

One IdM for several services

- A single profile for each entity
 - Each profile contains the union of all attributes required by all services
 - More efficient management, onboarding and offboarding
- Each service uses only the attributes it needs

▷ Usually explored with a central IdP

- To centralize the authentication of profile owners
- To provide assertions with identity claims

▷ Services rely on the IdP

Relying Parties (RPs)



 Concept that encompasses a common set of policies, practices and protocols to manage identity across organizations

⊳ Goal

- Enable an entity to access a service of an organization
- with a set of identity claims
- provided by one or more trustworthy, third-party IdMs



> Use case: organizations share identity management

- Entity@DomainA accesses system@DomainA
- ...also...
- Entity@DomainA accesses system@DomainB

- > Organizations agree on using federated identities
 - Single source of Identities for all Organizations
 - Can use an independent IdP or accept users from any participant





https://www.eduroam.us/





https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/patterns/federated-identity



Approach 4: Claim-based identity management

- Multi-IdP identity claims' provisioning
- Service provider asks for several identity attributes
 - As identity claims
 - And proposes alternative IdMs
- Service client uses one or more IdMs to get all the necessary identity claims
 - Usually no more than one



Approach 4: Claim-based identity management



https://www.codeproject.com/Articles/268236/Claim-based-Authentication-and-WIF



Credential

Set of a subject's identity claims asserted by an IdM

- e.g., identity cards
- Credentials also have metadata
 - Issuing date
 - Validity periods: automatically limits usage if stolen or lost
 - Issuer identity attributes: identifies origin to establish trust
 - Issuing purpose: subject can have multiple scoped credentials
 - Discloses only the required credential when accessing a service



Privacy issues

▷ Tracking

- IdMs usually know to which services they provide credentials
- They know which services each identity profile uses

> IdMs should not know the target services that will receive the credentials issued

- Only the credentials' owners should know that
- This is what usually happens with physical credentials
- But... for auditing purposes: they should

Requirements

- The credential owner must prove the credential's ownership
- The credential owner controls the presentation of its credentials



Verifiable credential (VC)

Cryptographically-sealed credential provided to a holder

- The holder is someone that will be able to make use of it
- A verifier can check the identity of its issuer
- > It may contain identity attributes of more that one entity
 - e.g., marriage agreement
- ▷ It may contain only attributes of another entity
 - e.g., a dog's vaccination record

▷ It can be revoked by issuers at any time

Some public, shared repository would be required (blockchain)

Verifiable presentation of VCs

> Trustworthy validation of a set of provided VCs

Authenticity

- Valid issuer signature, trust on issuer
- Validity
 - In the validity period, not revoked

Selective or ZKP presentation of credentials' information

- Show only part of the identity attributes
- Prove the possession of an attribute without disclosing the related identity claim

ZKP: Zero Knowledge Proof



ZKP: Zero Knowledge Proofs

b Method enabling an entity A, to prove and identity of entity B

Without disclosing further information regarding Entity B

▷ That is:

- Entity A will prove the that entity B knows something
- Without entity B disclosing anything about that knowledge, except its result
- Also, the world will not gain further information regarding entity B





ZKP: Zero Knowledge Proofs



Resposta: Eu sei onde está, mas não digo onde, apenas provo que sei



Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI)

- ▷ Not a very good name ...
 - Decentralized identity?
- It requires a digital wallet
 - For keeping digital credentials
 - Credentials are Verifiable Credentials that can prove to a verifier:
 - Who is the issuer
 - To whom they were issued
 - Whether it has been altered since it was issued
 - Whether it has been revoked by the issuer



SSI: Types of credentials

- > Third-party attested credentials
 - The credentials a person shows to others to prove their identity attributes
 - They imply the trust of the credential receiver in the credentials' issuers
 - Usually verified through cryptographic means

Self-attested credentials

- What I say about myself
 - Opinion, preference, **consent**
- Still needs credentials issued by TTPs
 - To associate identity attributes recognized by others to your opinion, preference or consent



SSI: Credential issuers

- > They act in response to requests of credentials' owners
 - And not the services they access
- > They can change / revoke issued credentials at any time
 - But credential owners can still use them
 - Revocation verification should not require a contact with the credential issuer
 - Some public repository must exist (blockchain)



SSI: P2P sessions

Each entity possesses a wallet

• With contains an asymmetric key pair

Thus, each pair of entities can establish a secure, P2P "connection", or "session"

• With which they can securely exchange credentials



Interoperability

> Capacity of different systems to cooperate with each other

Communicate, understand, accept

Syntactic interoperability

- They can communicate
- They can parse the communication items
- Semantic interoperability
 - They can understand each other
 - What is sent is what is understood



Interoperability in identity management

Interoperability between a large group of stakeholders involved in identity management

- Identity owners
- Identity providers
- Identity consumers

> Vital for operation of wide scale distributed environments

Across regions, across nations





Electronic identification, Authentication and trust Systems

• EU regulation

- On electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market
- Sets the standards and criteria for
 - Simple electronic signature
 - Advanced electronic signature
 - Qualified electronic signature
 - Qualified certificates
 - Online trust services

• Rules electronic transactions and their management

https://github.com/eu-digital-identity-wallet



Types of electronic signature (1/3)

Electronic signature

- Data in an electronic format attached (or logically associated) to other electronic data
- that the signer uses to accept the contents of a document



Types of electronic signature (2/3)

> Advanced electronic signature

- An electronic signature that:
 - Linked to the signer in a unique way and allows their identification
 - Created using electronic signature creation data that the signer can use with a high level of trust and under his exclusive control
 - Linked and sealed with the signed data so that any subsequent modification of it is noticeable



Types of electronic signature (3/3)

> Qualified electronic signature

- Advanced electronic signature created by a qualified electronic signature creation device
- based on a qualified electronic signature certificate



Qualified trust services (1/2)

Services electronically provided that

- Meet elDAS requirements
 - To operate at a high level of confidence and technical security
- A natural or a legal person who provides one or more trust services
 - Either as a qualified or non-qualified trust service provider
- Hold authenticity presumption





Qualified trust services (2/2)



- ▷ Services, normally provided for remuneration, of:
 - Creation, verification, and validation of electronic signatures, electronic seals or electronic time stamps, electronic registered delivery services and certificates related to those services
 - Creation, verification and validation of certificates for website authentication
 - Preservation of electronic signatures, seals or certificates related to those services



elDAS: Qualified (digital) certificate

Public key certificate issued by a qualified trust service provider

- TSP has government-issued qualifications
- Essential for non-repudiation
 - Links a signature to its owner (citizen)

Corresponding private key produces signatures with legal value



elDAS: Trusted lists (TSL)

- > Each Member State shall establish, maintain and publish trusted lists
 - Relation (Trusted-Service Status List) of certifying entities that are registered or accredited by the accrediting authority
 - Information about qualified trust service providers for which it is responsible
 - A TSL may include information on non-qualified trust service providers
 - It shall be clearly indicated that they are not qualified according to EU Regulation
- Member States shall establish, maintain and publish, in a secured manner, the electronically signed or sealed trusted lists in a form suitable for automated processing
 - Usually, XML



elDAS: Trusted lists

- Member States shall notify to the Commission information on the body responsible for establishing, maintaining and publishing their national TSL
 - And details of where such lists are published, the certificates used to sign or seal the trusted lists and any changes thereto
 - In Portugal: GNS (Gabinete Nacional de Segurança)
 - <u>https://www.gns.gov.pt/pt/trusted-lists/</u>
- The Commission publishes, through a secure channel, the information about member States' TSL
 - In electronically signed or sealed form suitable for automated processing
 - LOTL (List of Trust Lists)
 - <u>https://eidas.ec.europa.eu/efda/tl-browser</u>



eIDAS: eID Levels of Assurance (LoA)

- > Confidence in the identity claimed by a person
 - How certain a service provider can be that it is you the one using your eID to authenticate to the service
 - $\cdot \,$ And not someone else pretending to be you
 - The difficulty one would have to use someone else's elD to access an online service
- > 3 levels: low, substantial, high
- ▷ The LoA considers:
 - The process of obtaining the eID scheme (enrolment)
 - How the eID means is managed, how it is designed
 - How authentication is performed



CEF (Connecting Europe Facility) eID

- Citizens from an MS can prove and verify their identification when accessing on-line services in other MS
 - Using their national eIDs and connecting with their country IdP
- ⊳ Steps:
 - A citizen requests an on-line service in another MS
 - The citizen is requested to authenticate themselves by the on-line service
 - The citizen chooses to authenticate with an eIDAS eID
 - The authentication request is delegated to the citizen's country
 - Through the eIDAS network, to the citizen's IdP
 - The authentication result is returned to the service provider
 - Authentication is complete
 - · And the citizen can proceed with accessing the service



elDAS: CEF (Connecting Europe Facility) elD

- September 29, 2018
 - All online public services requiring electronic identification assurance with substantial or high LoA must be able to accept the notified eID schemes of other EU countries
- Extending the use of online services across Borders video • https://youtu.be/ojoW5OX2sZQ



Additional Context

Description of the second s

- https://www.gns.gov.pt/pt/regulamento-eidas-entidade/
- Several Pilots for testing the digital wallet
 - Potencial: <u>https://www.digital-identity-wallet.eu/</u>
 - EWC: <u>https://eudiwalletconsortium.org/</u>
 - NOBID: <u>https://www.nobidconsortium.com/</u>
 - DC4EU: <u>https://www.dc4eu.eu/</u>

> Open Source Development:

https://github.com/eu-digital-identity-wallet

