XSS Cross Site Scripting

JOÃO PAULO BARRACA





Assessment and Exploration of Vulnerabilities

Prevalence and Detectability

Second most prevalent issue in the OWASP Top 10
 Found in around two thirds of all applications.

Automated tools can find some XSS problems automatically
 particularly in mature technologies: PHP, J2EE / JSP, and ASP.NET.



Impact

Moderate for reflected and DOM XSS

Severe for stored XSS

- with remote code execution on the victim's browser
- stealing credentials, sessions
- delivering malware to the victim







Aprender JavaScript

sweet.ua.pt/~a35438/JavaScript_sites/JavaScript2.htm

Os Scripts Javascript integram-se em páginas HTML de forma simples, são sempre colocados entre num ... A variável resultado tomarão valor 1 se a condição for verdadeira e o valor 2 se a condição for falsa. ... alert("Pequeno demais!



```
▼<div class="gsc-table-result">
 ▼<div class="gsc-table-cell-snippet-close">
   \div class="gs-title gsc-table-cell-thumbnail gsc-thumbnail-left">...</div>
   \div class="gs-fileFormat">...</div>
   ▼<div class="gs-bidi-start-align gs-snippet" dir="ltr">
       "XSS. Correct usage: <img src='img.png'></img>. Not so correct usage:
       <img src='
                                                                                Seems to be OK
       img.png'><"</pre>
       <b>script</b>
                                                                                Input is escaped
       "S"
       <b>alert</b> == $0
       "("hi");</"
       <b>script</b>
       "></img>. 3&nbsp;..."
     </div>
   ▶<div class="gsc-url-bottom">...</div>
     <div class="gs-richsnippet-box" style="display: none;"></div>
```

🞽 Course: Análise e Exploração de 🔪 🗙 🕂							×
↔ → C ☆ 🏻 elearning.ua.pt/cours	e/view.php?id	I=16079		☆ 🗾	, *	θ	-
📥 Navigation		General Information			-		
🕸 Dashboard		This edition will be lectured by professor João Paulo Barraca (email: jpbarraca@ua.pt). For collaboration the following methods will be used:					
希 Site home		Email for any sort of contact					
> Site pages		• MS Teams AEV workplace for direct collaboration, especially during the allocated lecturing and tutoring slots. The use of the MS Teams platform					
 My courses direct communication is highly recommended and should be preferred instead of email. 							
∽ 41781-AEV		Moodle News Forum for news related to the course.					
> Participants		Classes will be lectured in the Portuguese language, unless there is a foreign student attending. In this case English will be used. All content is presented in					
Badges		the English language.					
III Grades		As requirements for this subject, students should be aware that this subject requires a reasonable kno	wledge and comprehension of	several netv	vorking	z,	
	software and operating system topics, such as: the Python/C/Java languages, Linux administration and Linux console usage (m			ebian/Ubunt	u), virt	ual	
> Theoretical Contents		machines, sockets, HTTP and HTML, asynchronous applications, hardware architectures.					
> Practical Contents		Important Dates					
> Assignments		Assignment 1: November 25th					
> References		Assignment 2: December 21st					
> Topic 5		Assignment 3: January 20th					
> Topic 6		• Final Exam: TBD (January)					
> Topic 7		HTB reports submission: until January 18th					
> Topic 8		Elearning Areas Pa	ge should be light,	, not da	rk!		
> Topic 9			e are not allowed	to have			
		th	themes 🙃				
> sTIC_aa		Announcements				·	^
> 47023-ar							
> 42566-ara		Theoretical Contents					

DT OA

de aveiro

 \mathbf{T}

*

🔛 Course: Análise e Exploração de 🔪 🗙 🕂	_	
← → C ☆ 🏻 elearning.ua.pt/course/view.php?id=16079	A 🖸 🔽	* ⊖ ⊧
E Q 🚛 🗩 2 💽 -	Elements Console Sources Network Performance Memory Application Security Lighthouse	🗆 🏟 🗄 🗶
Not available	<pre>background-color: rgb(34, 34, 54) fimportant; border: rgb(34, 54, 54) fimportant; color: rgb(187, 187, 187) fimportant;"> </pre> •<11 id="section-9" class="section main clearfix hidden" role="region" aria-label="Topic 9" style= "background-color: rgb(34, 34, 34) fimportant; border: rgb(34, 34, 34) fimportant; color: rgb(187, 187, 187, 187)	Styles >> :hov .cls element.s
Topic 5	<pre>187) !important;"></pre>	tyle { } *, <u>all:1</u>
Not available	<pre><span ((span))<="" \="" class="hidden sectionname" pre="" style="background-color: rgb(34, 34, 34) !important; border:
rgb(34, 34, 34) limportant; color: rgb(187, 187, 187) limportant;"></pre>	<pre>*::before, *::after {</pre>
Topic 6	<pre><div class="left side"></div> </pre>	-webkit- box- sizing +-
Not available	<pre></pre>	border box; box-
Topic 7	<pre> v<div class="summary"> v<div class="no-overflow"> </div></div></pre>	: border
	•••	box; }
Topic 8	 	user age… script { display :
Not available		} Inherited fr
Topic 9		Style Attribute {
Not available	<pre>><aside class="col-3 d-print-none block-region" data-blockregion="side-post" data-droptarget="1" id="block-region-side-post"></aside> ><div id="showsidebaricon" style="top: 365px;" title="Show / hide the sidebar"></div> </pre>	background- color :
	<td>limpor border:</td>	limpor border:
·	<pre>"true" data-region="right-hand-drawer" role="region" tabindex="0"></pre>	☐rgb(!impor color:
Suporte Outros sites	<pre> <script></script> <script src="<u>https://elearning.ua.pt/lib/javascript.php/1604966685/lib/requirejs/require.min.js</u>"></script> <<script></script><td>limpor }</td></pre>	limpor }
Extensão: 22299 Aveiro	I-main.col-9 div div.course-content ul.topics li#section-10.section.main.clearfix div.content div.summary div.no-overflow script	li { <u>all:1</u>

Reflected XSS

The application or API includes unvalidated and unescaped user input as part of HTML output

That is: the HTML displays a string sent by the user

The attacker will send a malicious link to the victim, pointing to an attackercontrolled page

Through email, posted on a chat, etc..

A successful attack can allow the attacker to execute arbitrary HTML and JavaScript in the victim's browser



Reflected XSS

BY SA



Stored XSS

The application or API stores unsanitized user input
 Injected by an attacker

Input is viewed later by another user or an administrator and payload is executed

Stored XSS is considered a high risk as actions may be executed with administrator permissions

When the site admin access the webpage



Stored XSS



DOM XSS

Vulnerable apps: JS frameworks, single-page applications, and APIs that dynamically include external JS

Ideally, applications would not send attacker-controllable data to unsafe JavaScript APIs.

Attacker controls remote resource (or injects resource)
 All aspects of the client facing app may be diverted



DOM XSS

BY SA



universidade de aveiro

Cross Site Request Forgery

- Attacker subverts client DOM
 - Using a crafted web page
 - Using a vulnerable web page that was subverted
 - Using a XSS attack

Client browser issues requests to external server
 Browser will send cookies authenticating requests



Cross Site Request Forgery



Avoiding XSS: Synchronizer Tokens

> Add hidden tokens to forms so that every post requires the correct token.

- Token is random and unique for each form
- Server-side code verifies if the correct token is provided

> Why: If a script makes a direct POST it will not have access to the latest token

<form>

<input type="text" name="login"></input>
 <input type="password" name="password"></input>
 <input type="hidden" name="csrf_token" value="KbyUmhTLMpYj7CD2di7JKP1P3qmLlkPt"/>
</form>



Avoiding XSS: Cookie-to-header

- > Upon the establishment of a session, a cookie with a random value is provided to the client
- > The JS in the Client gets the cookie and resends the cookie in the header
- > Why: Assumes that only JS provided on a specific HTTPS connection may access the cookie.
 - Assumes correct browser behavior
 - The browser will not let a script called from an external source have access to external cookies
 - SameSite=Lax will only allow using cookies from same requests (GET, not POST), in a top-level operation
 - Top level operation: A click or something that changes the location

Server will set:

Set-Cookie: csrf_token=i8XNjC4b8KVok4uw; Expires=some_date; Max-Age=some_age; Path=/; Domain=.site.org; SameSite=Lax; Secure

JS will call:

GET /index?csrf_token=i8XNjC4b8KVok4uw



Avoiding XSS: SameSite cookie attribute

Setting the SameSite to Strict instructs browser to only provide the cookie to requests from that site

Similar to Lax, but without exceptions to safe requests

> Why: If the SameSite is set, an external script will not have access to the token

Server sets:

Set-Cookie: csrf_token=i8XNjC4b8KVok4uw; Expires=some_date; Max-Age=some_time; Path=/; Domain=.wikipedia.org; SameSite=Strict; Secure

Legit JS will have access to the cookie, External JS won't



Avoiding XSS: Double cookie submission

Two cookies are used

- Session Cookie: identifies the user, stable across the session duration
- CSRF cookie: dynamically changing for each request

Why: External requests will not have information about the last CSRF cookie

 May allow sites to force a specific interaction sequence as CSRF cookies may identify the previous location



> Sites may require external resources (Cross Origin Resources)

- Javascripts, Images, Styles
- However this should be controlled

Current site perspective: where my resources are being loaded from?
 Images may be remote, JS should be local, as well as styles...

> Other sites: who is accessing my resources?

I do not want to be spreading malware (act as a storage for Stored XSS)





> Web servers may state a header that sets the Same Origin Policy

> What is Same Origin Policy?

SOP restricts how a document or script loaded from one origin can interact with a resource from another origin

> define: origin. In relation to http://store.comp.com/dir/page.html

- <u>http://store.comp.com/dir2/other.html</u>, Success
- <u>http://store.comp.com/dir/inner/another.html</u> Success
- <u>https://store.comp.com/secure.html</u>, Failure Different protocol
- <u>http://store.comp.com:81/dir/etc.html</u>, Failure Different port
- <u>http://news.comp.com/dir/other.html</u>, Failure Different host



> Origin is permitted to send data to another origin but not read

- > Interactions between origins are placed in three categories:
 - Cross origin writes (redirects, links, form action etc.)
 - Cross origin embedding (html tag with src/hrefs)
 - Cross origin reads (not allowed without CORS etc.)



Cross Origin Embedding

- JavaScript <script src="..."></script>.
- CSS with <link rel="stylesheet" href="...">.
- Images with .
- Media files with <video> and <audio> tags.
- Plug-ins with <object>, <embed> and <applet>.
- > Fonts with @font-face.
- Anything with <frame> and <iframe>.



Cross Origin Request Sharing

Why is CORS needed?

For legitimate and trusted requests to gain access to authorized data from other domains

Think cross application data sharing models

Allows data to be exchanged with trusted sites while using a relaxed Same Origin policy mode.

Application APIs exposed via web services and trusted domains require CORS to be accessible over the SOP









Assessment and Exploration of Vulnerabilities



CORS Requests

Preflight is not needed if

- Request is a HEAD/GET/POST via XHR
- No Custom headers
- Body is text/plain

Server responds with a CORS header

- Browser determines access
- Neither the request, nor response contain cookies





CORS Headers

Simple Request

- Origin: Header set by the client for every CORS request
- Value is the current domain that made the request

Access-Control-Allow-Origin

- Set by the server and used by the browser to determine if the response is to be allowed or not.
- Can be set to * to make resources public (bad practice!)





CORS Insecurity

Several security issues arise from the improper implementation of CORS, most commonly using a universal allow notation (*) in the server headers

Clients should not trust the received content completely and eval or render content without sanitization which could result in misplaced trust

The application that allows CORS may become vulnerable to CSRF attacks



CORS Insecurity

Prolonged caching of Preflight responses could lead to attacks arising out of abuse of the Preflight Client Cache

Access control decisions based on the Origin header could result in vulnerabilities as this can be spoofed by an attacker





CORS Insecurity: Misplaced Trust

> Data exchange between two domains is based on trust

If one of the servers involved in the exchange of data is compromised, then the model of CORS is put at risk

Scenarios?

An attacker can compromise site A and host malicious content, knowing site B trusts the data that site A sends to site B.



CORS Insecurity: Access Control based on Origin

The Origin header indicates that the request is from a particular domain, but does not guarantee it

Header can be controlled by the attacker

Spoofing the Origin header allows access to the page if access is based on this header

Scenarios?

An attacker sets the Origin header to view sensitive information that is restricted

Using cURL to set a custom origin header: curl --header 'origin:http://someserver.com'



CORS Insecurity: Caching of Preflight responses

The Access-Control-Max-Age header is set to a high value, allowing browsers to cache Preflight responses

- It's very important for performance reasons
- But caching the preflight response for longer duration can pose a security risk.

➢ If the access-control policy is changed on the server the browser would still follow the old policy available in the Preflight Result Cache

Scenario:

During updates to sites, the access policy will be out of sync until the cache expires





CORS Insecurity: Universal Allow

Setting the 'Access-Control-Allow-Origin' header to *

- Effectively turns the content into a public resource, allowing access from any domain
- Very common during development, and somewhat during production

Scenarios?

- An attacker can steal data from an intranet site that has set this header to
 * by enticing a user to visit an attacker controlled site on the Internet.
- An attacker can perform attacks on other remote apps via a victim's browser when the victim navigates to an attacker controlled site.

