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#### Abstract

We consider a steady-state heat conduction problem in 2D unbounded doubly periodic composite materials with temperature independent conductivities of their components. Imperfect contact conditions are assumed on the boundaries between the matrix and inclusions. By introducing complex potentials, the corresponding boundary value problem for the Laplace equation is transformed into a special $R$-linear boundary value problem for doubly periodic analytic functions. The method of functional equations is used for obtaining a solution. Thus, the $R$-linear boundary value problem is transformed into a system of functional equations which is analysed afterwards. A new improved algorithm for solving this system is proposed. It allows to compute the average property and reconstruct the temperature and the flux at an arbitrary point of the composite. Computational examples are presented.
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## 1 Introduction

This work is devoted to analytical investigations of a heat conduction problem of 2D unbounded doubly periodic composite materials with an arbitrary number of inclusions and imperfect contact conditions on the boundary of the components.

The problem of determining the temperature and flux fields and also the effective conductivity of composites with imperfect contact conditions on boundaries between the matrix and inclusions has its history, and some essential results have been obtained. Benveniste and Miloh [2] presented a general framework to determine the effective conductivity of two-phase composites with imperfect interfaces between the matrix and inclusions. Hasselman and Johnson [10] obtained the effective thermal conductivity of composites with interfacial thermal barrier resistance by modifying Rayleigh and Maxwell theories. Lipton and Vernescu [13] extended the Hashin-Shtrikman variational principles to anisotropic two-phase heat conducting composites with thermal contact resistance and derived the bounds of the effective thermal conductivity. A new way for

[^0]the estimation of the bounds of the effective thermal conductivity of composites with imperfect interfaces was suggested by Wu [17] where the transition layer for each spherical inclusion was introduced for construction of the temperature field for the upper bound and the heat flux field for the lower bound. Based on the principles of minimum potential energy and minimum complementary energy, the upper and lower bounds were rigorously derived. The effects of the distribution and size of spherical inclusions on the effective thermal conductivity of composites were analyzed. On the base of the twoscale asymptotic homogenization method, the effect of the interface properties on the effective conductivity and temperature/flux field of a granular composite with a simple cubic array of spherical inclusions was studied by Andrianov et al. in [1]. The effective transverse conductivity of a fibrous composite with imperfect contact between the matrix and parallel elliptic fibers was quite recently found using Maxwell homogenization scheme by Kushch et al. in [12]. Using numerical technique - the finite element method, Graham and McDowell [9] calculated thermal conductivity of a random fiber composite with imperfect interface for the unit cell model.

Analytical investigation of the thermal conductivity of a composite material consisting of periodic body-centred and face-centred cubic array of spheres with interfacial resistance belongs to Cheng and Torquato [6] who extended and applied Rayleigh's method. The effect of imperfect interface phenomenon on the effective conductivity has been studied analytically by Goncales and Kołodziej in [8], and explicit formula for the effective thermal conductivity of composite with regular fiber distribution was obtained. Recently, Riva and Musolino in [15] studied a behavior of the effective conductivity of composites with thermal resistance at the interface for the case when a small parameter $\varepsilon$ proportional to a diameter of inclusions tends to 0 .

According to classification of the contact conditions done by Benveniste and Miloh in [3], we consider "spring type" interface conditions which characterize a soft interphase. The components (inclusions) are supposed to be non-overlapping disks forming a doubly periodic structure. The case of steady-state conduction is assumed, i.e., the Laplace equation governs this process. This may be seen as a natural continuation of the works of Castro et al. [5] and Drygas, Mityushev [7] in the sense that some important improvements are introduced. Namely, in [5], [7] it is considered a special temperature distribution directed along the $O x$-axis. In contrast to this problem now we introduce more natural conditions of periodicity of the flux on the boundary of the minimal representative cell (see [11) which make the problem more complicated for a solution analysis in comparison with the problem formulated in [5] or [7]. We treat the problem by using the methods developed in [5], [7, reducing the corresponding boundary value problem to a system of functional equations with respect to certain doubly periodic analytical functions. The algorithm for the numerical calculations presented in 5] is mostly oriented to find the effective conductivity. Here, we modify the algorithm in order to increase the accuracy of the numerical computations an any point in the distance from the centers of the inclusions and to find the temperature field (with accuracy to an arbitrary constant). The proposed modification allows us to find the flux distribution in an explicit form containing all parameters of the considered model such as conductivities of the matrix and inclusions, radii and centers of inclusions, an intensity and an angle of the flux.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the geometry of the considered composites and formulate the mathematical problem. In Section 3 we solve the problem, describe a new algorithm in detail and show that both components of the solution, the flux and the temperature, can be computed. Finally, numerical calculations are performed and discussed in Section (4)

## 2 Statement of the Problem

Let a lattice $L$ be defined by the two fundamental translation vectors " 1 " and " $\imath$ " (where $\imath^{2}=-1$ ) in the complex plane $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (with elements identified in the form $z=x+\imath y$ ). Here, the representative cell is the square

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{(0,0)}:=\left\{z=t_{1}+\imath t_{2} \in \mathbb{C}:-\frac{1}{2}<t_{p}<\frac{1}{2}, p=1,2\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}:=\bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left\{m_{1}+\imath m_{2}\right\}$ be the set of the lattice points, where $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The cells corresponding to the points of the lattice $\mathcal{E}$ will be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}=Q_{(0,0)}+m_{1}+\imath m_{2}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z-m_{1}-\imath m_{2} \in Q_{(0,0)}\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is considered the situation when mutually disjoint disks (inclusions) of different radii $D_{k}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-a_{k}\right|<r_{k}\right\}$ with boundaries $\partial D_{k}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left|z-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}\right\}$ (for $k=1,2, \ldots, N)$ are located inside the cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ and periodically repeated in all cells $Q_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}$. Let us denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}:=Q_{(0,0)} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} D_{k} \cup \partial D_{k}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the connected domain obtained by removing the inclusions from the cell $Q_{(0,0)}$.
Let us consider the problem of determination of the temperature and flux of an unbounded double periodic composite material with matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {matrix }}=\bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(\left(D_{0} \cup \partial Q_{(0,0)}\right)+m_{1}+\imath m_{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {inc }}=\bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \bigcup_{k=1}^{N}\left(D_{k}+m_{1}+\imath m_{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

occupied by materials of conductivities $\lambda_{m}>0$ and $\lambda_{k}>0$, respectively. This problem is equivalent to the determination of the potential of the corresponding fields, i.e., a function $T$ satisfying the Laplace equation in each component of the composite material

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T(z)=0, \quad z \in D_{\text {matrix }} \cup D_{i n c}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which have to satisfy the following boundary conditions on all $\partial D_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}(t)=\lambda_{k} \frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial n}(t), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k} \frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial n}(t)+\gamma_{k}\left(T_{k}(t)-T(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in \bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}} \partial D_{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{k}>0$, the vector $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is the outward unit normal vector to $\partial D_{k}$, and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n}=n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
$$

is the outward normal derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t):=\lim _{D_{0} \ni z \rightarrow t} T(z), \quad T_{k}(t):=\lim _{D_{k} \ni z \rightarrow t} T(z) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions (2.7)-(2.8) form the so-called imperfect contact conditions. In addition, we assume that the heat flux is periodic on $y$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m} T_{y}\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\lambda_{m} T_{y}\left(x,-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-A \sin \theta+q_{1}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the intensity of an external flux. The heat flux is periodic on $x$, and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m} T_{x}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, y\right)=\lambda_{m} T_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}, y\right)=-A \cos \theta+q_{2}(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complement the average flux conditions at infinity, the latter immediately proves that the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} q_{j}(\xi) d \xi=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid for the unknown functions $q_{j}(j=1,2)$. As a result of (2.10) and (2.11), the heat flux has a zero mean value along the boundary of the cell

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial Q_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}} \frac{\partial T(s)}{\partial n} d s=0, \quad \int_{\partial D_{k}+m_{1}+\imath m_{2}} \frac{\partial T(s)}{\partial n} d s=0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (2.13) is the consequence of the fact that no source (sink) exists in the cells.

We introduce complex potentials $\varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_{k}(z)$ which are analytic in $D_{0}$ and $D_{k}$, and continuously differentiable in the closures of $D_{0}$ and $D_{k}$, respectively, by using the following relations

$$
T(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)+B z), z \in D_{\text {matrix }}  \tag{2.14}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \operatorname{Re} \varphi_{k}(z), z \in D_{\text {inc }}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B$ is an unknown constant belonging to $\mathbb{C}$. Besides, we assume that the real part of $\varphi$ is doubly periodic in $D_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z+1)-\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \varphi(z+\imath)-\operatorname{Re} \varphi(z)=0
$$

Note that in general the imaginary part of $\varphi$ is not doubly periodic in $D_{0}$.

It is shown in [11] that $\varphi$ is a single-valued function in $D_{\text {matrix }}$. The harmonic conjugate to $T$ is a function $v$ which has the following form:

$$
v(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Im}(\varphi(z)+B z), z \in D_{\text {matrix }},  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k}(z), z \in D_{\text {inc }},
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the same unknown constant $B$.
Following the procedure exhibited in [5], we rewrite the conditions (2.7)-(2.8) in terms of the complex potentials $\varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_{k}(z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\varphi_{k}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\varphi_{k}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{k}\right)^{\prime}(t)+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}} \overline{\left(\varphi_{k}\right)^{\prime}(t)}-B t, \quad\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{k}=\frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}}$ and $\mu_{k}=\frac{\lambda_{m}\left(\rho_{k}+1\right)}{2 r_{k} \gamma_{k}}$.
For the determination of the flux $\nabla u(x, y)$, we introduce the derivatives of the complex potentials:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi(z):=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}-B, & z \in D_{0} \\
\psi_{k}(z):=\frac{\partial \varphi_{k}}{\partial z}=\frac{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}}{2 \lambda_{m}}\left(\frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial y}\right), & z \in D_{k} \tag{2.17}
\end{array}
$$

Representing the function $\varphi$ in the form $\varphi(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l},\left|z-a_{k}\right| \leq r_{k}$, and by using the relation $t=\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}}+a_{k}$ on the boundary $\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}$, one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{\varphi(t)}]^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}, \quad\left[\overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi^{\prime}(t)}, \quad\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, after differentiating (2.16), we arrive at the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear boundary value problem on each contour $\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, N$,
$\psi(t)=\left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \psi_{k}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{k}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right) \psi_{k}^{\prime}(t)-\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\psi_{k}^{\prime}(t)}-B$
with the unknown constant $B$.
We will seek a solution $\psi(z), \psi_{k}(z)$ of the problem (2.19) as a $\operatorname{sum} \psi(z)=\psi^{(1)}(z)+$ $\psi^{(2)}(z), \psi_{k}(z)=\psi_{k}^{(1)}(z)+\psi_{k}^{(2)}(z)$ of solutions of the following two boundary value prob-
lems:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{(1)}(t)= & \left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \psi_{k}^{(1)}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{k}^{(1)}(t)} \\
& +\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\psi_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\left(\psi_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-B_{1}  \tag{2.20}\\
\psi^{(2)}(t)= & \left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \psi_{k}^{(2)}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{k}^{(2)}(t)} \\
& +\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\psi_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\left(\psi_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-\imath B_{2}, \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{k}^{(1)}$ and $\psi_{k}^{(2)}$ are analytical doubly periodic functions, $B=B_{1}+\imath B_{2}$.

## 3 Solution of the Problem

To look for the solution of the problem (2.20), we use the auxiliary problem discussed in [5]. Here, for the reader convenience, we shortly overview this problem.

Let $\widetilde{T}$ be a solution of the boundary value problem (2.6)-(2.8) with a constant jump corresponding to the external field applied in the $x$-direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}(z+1)=\widetilde{T}(z)+1, \quad \widetilde{T}(z+\imath)=\widetilde{T}(z) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complex potentials $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)$ are introduced as follows

$$
\widetilde{T}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)+z\right), z \in D_{\text {matrix }}  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(z), z \in D_{\text {inc }}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)$ are analytic in $D_{0}$ and $D_{k}$, and continuously differentiable in the closures of $D_{0}$ and $D_{k}$, respectively. Besides, the real part of $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}$ is doubly periodic in $D_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z+1)-\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z+\imath)-\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the imaginary part of $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}$ is not doubly periodic in $D_{0}$. The problem (2.6)(2.8), (3.1) can be reduced to the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation boundary value problem (cf. [5):
$\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(t)=\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(t)+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(t)}-t,\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}$.

Differentiating the last equality, we obtain the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation boundary value problem for analytical doubly periodic functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \widetilde{\psi}_{N}^{(1)}$ (cf. [5)):

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(t)= & \left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)} \\
& +\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-1 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial y}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(z)+1, z \in D_{\text {matrix }}  \tag{3.6}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(z), z \in D_{i n c}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(z):=\frac{\partial \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial y}-1, & z \in D_{0}, \\
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(z):=\frac{\partial \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}}{\partial z}=\frac{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}}{2 \lambda_{m}}\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{T}_{k}}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}_{k}}{\partial y}\right), & z \in D_{k} . \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that when the temperature has a constant jump corresponding to the external field applied in the $y$-direction

$$
\widetilde{T}(z+1)=\widetilde{T}(z), \quad \widetilde{T}(z+\imath)=\widetilde{T}(z)-1,
$$

the temperature is defined as

$$
\widetilde{T}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{(2)}(z)+\imath z\right), z \in D_{\text {matrix }}  \tag{3.8}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}(z), z \in D_{\text {inc }}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with corresponding functions $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(2)}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}$ possessing the same properties as the functions $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}$, and
$\widetilde{\varphi}^{(2)}(t)=\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(t)+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(t)}-\imath t,\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}$.
The corresponding $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation boundary value problem has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}^{(2)}(t)= & \left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)} \\
& +\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}-\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-\imath . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The problems (2.20) and (2.21) can be equivalently reduced to the problems (3.5) and (3.9), by using the following replacements:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi^{(1)}(z)=B_{1} \widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(z), & \psi_{k}^{(1)}(z)=B_{1} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(z), \\
\psi^{(2)}(z)=B_{2} \widetilde{\psi}^{(2)}(z), & \psi_{k}^{(2)}(z)=B_{2} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(z) . \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 3.1 It is easy to verify that the functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}(z):=\imath \widetilde{\psi}^{(2)}(\imath z)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(z):=$ $\imath \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(\imath z)$ satisfy the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}(t)= & \left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)+\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\frac{r_{k}}{t-b_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)} \\
& +\imath \mu_{k}\left(t-b_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\imath \mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(t-b_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)\right)^{\prime}}+1, \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|t-b_{k}\right|=r_{k}, b_{k}=-\imath a_{k}$.
Note that $\widetilde{\psi}^{(2)}(z)=-\imath \widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}(-\imath z)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(2)}(z)=-\imath \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(-\imath z)$.
Thus, to find a solution of the problem (2.19), it is sufficient to find solutions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(z), \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}(z), \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(z)$ of the problems (3.5) and (3.12), respectively.

For obtaining of the real constants $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, we use the same approach presented in [11] and get the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)}, \quad B_{2}=\frac{-A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I:=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\imath y\right) d y, \quad I^{\perp}:=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\imath y\right) d y
$$

Now we can formulate the statement about how to find the temperature flux.
Theorem 3.2 Let $T=T(x, y)$ and $T_{k}=T_{k}(x, y)$ be the solution of the problem (2.7)(2.8), (2.10) and (2.11). The temperature flux is defined in the following form:

$$
\frac{\partial T(x, y)}{\partial x}-\imath \frac{\partial T(x, y)}{\partial y}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(z)+B, z=x+\imath y \in D_{\text {matrix }}  \tag{3.14}\\
\frac{2 \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \psi_{k}(z), z=x+\imath y \in D_{i n c}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
B=\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)}-\frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \imath
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi(z):=\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)} \widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}(z)+\imath \frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \widetilde{\psi}^{\perp}(-\imath z), \quad z \in D_{\text {matrix }}, \\
& \psi_{k}(z):=\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)+\imath \frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\perp}(-\imath z), \quad z \in D_{\text {inc }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the temperature it is sufficient to find the functions $\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}$ (cf. (2.14)). These functions can be represented as sums $\varphi(z)=\varphi^{(1)}(z)+\varphi^{(2)}(z), \varphi_{k}(z)=\varphi_{k}^{(1)}(z)+$ $\varphi_{k}^{(2)}(z)$ of two functions $\varphi^{(1)}$ and $\varphi^{(2)}$ which have to satisfy the following boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi^{(1)}(t) & =\varphi_{k}^{(1)}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\varphi_{k}^{(1)}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}} \overline{\left(\varphi_{k}^{(1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-B_{1} t,  \tag{3.15}\\
\varphi^{(2)}(t) & =\varphi_{k}^{(2)}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\varphi_{k}^{(2)}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-a_{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-a_{k}} \overline{\left(\varphi_{k}^{(2)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}-\imath B_{2} t \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously to (3.10)-(3.11), we have $\varphi^{(1)}(z)=B_{1} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z), \varphi_{k}^{(1)}(z)=B_{1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\varphi^{(2)}(z)=B_{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(2)}(z), \varphi_{k}^{(2)}(z)=B_{2} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}(z)$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}^{(2)}(z)=\widetilde{\varphi}^{\perp}(-\imath z), \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(2)}(z)=\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(-\imath z)
$$

with $\widetilde{\varphi}^{\perp}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}$ satisfy the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear conjugation boundary value problem:

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}^{\perp}(t)=\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)-\rho_{k} \overline{\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)}+\mu_{k}\left(t-b_{k}\right)\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\mu_{k} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{t-b_{k}} \overline{\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(t)\right)^{\prime}}+t
$$

where $\left|t-b_{k}\right|=r_{k}, b_{k}=-\imath a_{k}$. The functions $\widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}$ can be found up to an arbitrary constant as indefinite integrals of the functions $\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(1)}$, respectively (cf. (3.7)). Thus, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3.3 Let $T=T(x, y)$ and $T_{k}=T_{k}(x, y)$ be the solution of the problem (2.7)(2.8), (2.10) and (2.11). The temperature distribution can be found up to an arbitrary constant and is defined in the form (2.14), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)}-\frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \imath \\
\varphi(z) & =\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)} \widetilde{\varphi}^{(1)}(z)-\frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \widetilde{\varphi}^{\perp}(-\imath z), \\
\varphi_{k}(z) & =\frac{-A \cos \theta}{\lambda_{m}(I+1)} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{(1)}(z)-\frac{A \sin \theta}{\lambda_{m}\left(I^{\perp}-1\right)} \widetilde{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}(-\imath z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solvability of the problem (3.5) is described in [5] in details, where we used the method of functional equations (cf. [4, 14]). Here, we describe a new algorithm for obtaining the solution of the problem (3.5) which gives more accurate numerical results. The problem (3.12) can be solved analogously. For convenience, we omit the upper index in $\widetilde{\psi}^{(1)}$ and will write $\widetilde{\psi}$ below. Here, we use some facts and notation of the paper [5].

Notice that we have $N$ contours $\partial D_{k}$ and $N$ complex conjugation conditions on each contour $\partial D_{k}$ but we need to find $N+1$ functions $\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\psi}_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{N}$. This means that we need one additional condition to close up the system. For this reason we introduce a new doubly periodic function $\Phi$ which is sectionally analytic in $Q_{(0,0)}$ and in $\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} D_{k}$ and has zero jumps along each $\underset{\sim}{\partial} D_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, N$. Such consideration will give an additional condition on $\psi, \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{N}$. In fact, we will show that $\Phi \equiv 0$.

Let us introduce the sectionally analytic doubly periodic function $\Phi$ by the following formula:

$$
\Phi(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Phi_{(k)}(z),\left|z-a_{k}\right| \leq r_{k}  \tag{3.17}\\
\Phi_{(0)}(z), z \in D_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{(k)}(z)=\left(1+\mu_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)+\mu_{k}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z) & -\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}{ }^{*}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}(z) \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}{ }^{*} \mu_{m} W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}^{\prime}(z)-1, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)=\left(\frac{r_{k}}{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-\imath m_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{r_{k}^{2}}{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}\left(\frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\overline{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-\imath m_{2}}}+a_{k}\right)},  \tag{3.20}\\
W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{\left(z-a_{k}-m_{1}-\imath m_{2}\right)^{3}} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left.a_{k}\right)}{\overline{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-\imath m_{2}}},\right.  \tag{3.21}\\
\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{*}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m}:=\sum_{m \neq k} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m}+\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}\right) W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, k} . \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The "prime" notation in $\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ means that the summation occurs in all $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ except at $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=(0,0)$.

Applying the Analytic Continuation Principle and Liouville's theorem for doubly periodic functions, we have that $\Phi=c$.

Let $\widetilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{k}$ be solutions of the system $\Phi(z)=c$. Then, in $D_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}(z)=\widetilde{\psi}^{\prime}(z)+c \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some doubly periodic function $\widetilde{\psi^{\prime}}$. Inserting the last equality in (3.7) and then in (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}(z)+c z+z\right), \quad z \in D_{0} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some function $\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}$ which yields $c=0$. Thus, we have $\Phi(z) \equiv 0$. Writing $\Phi(z) \equiv 0$, we obtain the following system of linear functional equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)= & -\frac{\mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)+\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{j}^{*}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) W_{j, m} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}(z) \\
& -\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{j}{ }^{*} \mu_{m} W_{j, m}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}^{\prime}(z)+\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N, \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

which is uniquely solvable with respect to $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}$ in the space of analytical functions (for more details cf. [5]).

The function $\tilde{\psi}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{j}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) W_{j, m} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}(z)-\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{j} \mu_{m} W_{j, m}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{m}^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us expand $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)$ into Taylor series,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\psi}_{l k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l}, \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\psi}_{l k} l\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l-1} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to sum up $W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)$ and $W_{m_{1}, m_{2}, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)$ over all translations $m_{1}+\imath m_{2}$.
The series $\sum_{j} W_{j, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)$, where $j=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ and $k$ is a fixed number, can be represented via the elliptic Eisenstein functions $E_{l}(z)$ of order $l$ (see [16, [5]):

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j} W_{j, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z) & =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} r_{k}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right),  \tag{3.28}\\
\sum_{j} W_{j, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z) & =\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} l r_{k}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

The series

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} W_{j, k} \tilde{\psi}_{k}(z):=\sum_{j} W_{j, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)-\left(\frac{r_{k}}{z-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}\left(\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}}}+a_{k}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} W_{j, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z):=\sum_{j} W_{j, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)-\frac{r_{k}^{4}}{\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{3}} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}\left(\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}}}+a_{k}\right)}
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j}^{\prime} W_{j, k} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z) & =\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} r_{k}^{2(l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right),  \tag{3.30}\\
\sum_{j}^{\prime} W_{j, k}^{\prime} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z) & =\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\psi_{l k}} l r_{k}^{2(l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right), \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{l}$ is the modified Eisenstein function defined by the formula $\sigma_{l}(z):=E_{l}(z)-z^{-l}$. The Eisenstein functions $E_{l}$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $l=3,4, \ldots$ and conditionally for $l=2$ (cf. [16]).

Thus, we can rewrite the equations (3.25) and (3.26), for $\tilde{\psi}_{k}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)= & -\frac{\mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)+\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) \widetilde{\tilde{\psi}_{l m}} r_{m}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right) \\
& +\frac{\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} r_{k}^{2(l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mu_{m} \overline{\psi_{l m}} l r_{m}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right) \\
& -\frac{\mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} l r_{k}^{2(l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}},  \tag{3.32}\\
\widetilde{\psi}(z)= & \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) \widetilde{\tilde{\psi}_{l m}} r_{m}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mu_{m} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}_{l m}} l r_{m}^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.27), we have

$$
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)+\frac{\mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\psi}_{l k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l}\left(1+\frac{l \mu_{k}}{1+\mu_{k}}\right) .
$$

Now we need to find the numerical coefficients $\tilde{\psi}_{l m}$ of the system (3.32). Note that the equation (3.33) for $\widetilde{\psi}$ has the same coefficients $\widetilde{\psi}_{l m}$. Taking a partial sum of the Taylor series with $M$ first items

$$
\widetilde{\psi}_{k}(z)=\widetilde{\psi}_{0 k}+\widetilde{\psi}_{1 k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\widetilde{\psi}_{2 k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\widetilde{\psi}_{M k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{M}
$$

and collecting the coefficients of the consequent powers of $z-a_{k}$, we obtain the formula for definition of $\widetilde{\psi}_{j k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{j k}=\left.\frac{1+\mu_{k}}{j!\left(1+(1+j) \mu_{k}\right.} \widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(j)}\right|_{z=a_{k}} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}^{(j)}$ is the derivative of order $j$ of the function $\widetilde{\psi}_{k}$. Then, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{j k}= & \frac{1}{j!\left(1+(1+j) \mu_{k}\right)} \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{M}\left(\rho_{m}-\mu_{m}\right) \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{l m}} r_{m}^{2(l+1)}(-1)^{j} \frac{(l+j+1)!}{(l+1)!} E_{l+j+2}\left(a_{k}-a_{m}\right) \\
& +\frac{\rho_{k}-\mu_{k}}{j!\left(1+(1+j) \mu_{k}\right)} \sum_{l=0}^{M} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{l k}} r_{k}^{2(l+1)}(-1)^{j} \frac{(l+j+1)!}{(l+1)!} \sigma_{l+j+2}(0) \\
& -\frac{1}{j!\left(1+(1+j) \mu_{k}\right)} \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \mu_{m} \overline{\widetilde{\psi}_{l m}} r_{m}^{2(l+1)}(-1)^{j} \frac{l(l+j+1)!}{(l+1)!} E_{l+j+2}\left(a_{k}-a_{m}\right) \\
& -\frac{\mu_{k}}{j!\left(1+(1+j) \mu_{k}\right)} \sum_{l=1}^{M}{\widetilde{\psi_{l k}}}_{l}^{r_{k}^{(l+1)}(-1)^{j} \frac{l(l+j+1)!}{(l+1)!} \sigma_{l+j+2}(0)+I_{j}} \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{1+\mu_{k}}, j=0, \\ 0, j=1, \ldots, M .\end{array}\right.$
Thus, we arrive at a system with $N(M+1)$ unknown constants $\widetilde{\psi}_{j k}$ and $N(M+1)$ equations which can be solved numerically. This system is obtained for an arbitrary number $N$ of inclusions of different radii and parameters $\gamma_{k}$.

Remark 3.4 Note that for finding the flux distribution (namely, the functions $\widetilde{\psi}, \widetilde{\psi}_{k}, \ldots$, $\widetilde{\psi}_{N}$ ) in explicit form, we change an algorithm for the solution of the equations (3.32) and (3.33) in comparison with the algorithm proposed in [5]. The new algorithm allows us to get more accurate numerical values of the flux in each point of considered composite material.

## 4 Numerical Results

This section is devoted to the presentation of the algorithm mentioned above. The algorithm is realized in Maple 14 software.

We consider the case when four inclusions are situated within one cell (i.e. $N=4$ ). We suppose that a heat flux of fixed intensity $A=-1$ flows in different directions with respect to the main axis. Here the minus sign shows that the flux is directed from the right to the left (or from the top to the bottom) depending on the angle $\theta$. The conductivity of the matrix is $\lambda_{m}=1$, and the conductivity of inclusions $\lambda_{k}$ take different values.

We take a non-symmetrical configuration of non-overlapping inclusions with the centers

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-0.18+0.2 \imath, a_{2}=0.33-0.34 \imath, a_{3}=0.33+0.35 \imath, a_{4}=-0.18-0.2 \imath \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the same radius $r_{k}=R$ of value 0.145 . In this case some of inclusions are situated very close to inclusions of the neighboring cells. For symmetrical configurations of the inclusions or small radius, the accuracy is higher. Therefore, we choose this configuration to check the accuracy of the calculations in the worse situation.

We calculate the flux components in the center $a_{k}$ of the $k$-inclusion

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{x}^{(k)}\left(a_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{k} \frac{\partial T_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)}{\partial x}=\frac{2 \lambda_{k} \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \cdot \operatorname{Re} \psi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right), \\
Q_{y}^{(k)}\left(a_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{k} \frac{\partial T_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)}{\partial y}=-\frac{2 \lambda_{k} \lambda_{m}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \psi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and at the matrix point $z=0$, when the flux components in any point of the matrix can be found as

$$
Q_{x}^{(m)}(z)=\lambda_{m} \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi(z)+B), \quad Q_{y}^{(m)}(z)=-\lambda_{m} \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\psi(z)+B)
$$

in accordance with the formula (3.14). Computations in the Table 1 are given for the first eight consecutive values of the number $M(M=0,1, \ldots, 7)$ showing how many terms are selected for computations in the Taylor series (3.35)).

Table 1: The flux components for different numbers of $M$, while other problem parameters are: $\theta=0, \gamma_{k}=1 / 10, R=0.145, \lambda_{m}=1, \lambda_{k}=100$ and the configuration of the inclusions being defined by (4.1).

| $M$ | $Q_{x}^{(1)}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Q_{y}^{(1)}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Q_{x}^{(m)}(0)$ | $Q_{y}^{(m)}(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.041704 | 0.000305 | 1.505039 | 0.007381 |
| 1 | 0.042568 | 0.000358 | 1.494873 | 0.007442 |
| 2 | 0.042683 | 0.000354 | 1.481236 | 0.007406 |
| 3 | 0.042687 | 0.000357 | 1.482434 | 0.007526 |
| 4 | 0.042705 | 0.000359 | 1.483022 | 0.007527 |
| 5 | 0.042712 | 0.000360 | 1.483523 | 0.007539 |
| 6 | 0.042713 | 0.000360 | 1.483721 | 0.007543 |
| 7 | 0.042714 | 0.000360 | 1.483787 | 0.007545 |

Computations show that taking $M=7$ the accuracy is between five or six valid units depending on where the flux is computed. Note that for the same configuration but $\gamma_{k}=0$ (ideal-contact conditions) we get the same accuracy for $M=4$ (see [11]).

Table 2: Temperature in two points of the model for different numbers of $M$, while other problem parameters are: $\theta=0, \gamma_{k}=1 / 10, R=0.145, \lambda_{m}=1, \lambda_{k}=100$ and the configuration of the inclusions being defined by (4.1).

| $M$ | $T\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $T(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -0.319549 | 0.071912 |
| 1 | -0.324873 | 0.085084 |
| 2 | -0.326249 | 0.085099 |
| 3 | -0.326794 | 0.084942 |
| 4 | -0.327119 | 0.084877 |
| 5 | -0.327239 | 0.084842 |
| 6 | -0.327280 | 0.084829 |
| 7 | -0.327294 | 0.084826 |

Taking the same parameters as for the flux, we calculate the temperature in two points $a_{1}$ and 0 for different $M$ and get the accuracy five valid units for $M=6$. It is worth to mention that an accuracy depends on a position $\left(a_{i}\right)$, size $(R)$ of inclusions and other parameters of a model. However, our calculations show that change of the parameter $\lambda_{k}$ with fixed other parameters $a_{i}, R, N, \lambda_{m}, \gamma_{k}, A, \theta$ does not influence on the accuracy.

As an example, we find the temperature distribution $T(x, y)$ presented on Figures $1-2$ for the following parameters: $\lambda_{m}=1, R=0.145, \theta=0 ; \pi / 4, \lambda_{k}=100$ and $\lambda_{k}=0.01$.

We also show the flux distribution inside the cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ for different $\gamma_{k}$, angles and conductivities of inclusions on Figures 3.5. Note that in the case of ideal-contact conditions when the conductivity of the matrix $\lambda_{m}=1$ and the conductivity of inclusions


Figure 1: The temperature distribution inside $Q_{(0,0)}$ for $\lambda_{k}=100, \theta=0 ; \pi / 4$.


Figure 2: The temperature distribution inside $Q_{(0,0)}$ for $\lambda_{k}=0.01, \theta=0 ; \frac{\pi}{4}$.
$\lambda_{k}>1$, the flux in the inclusions is more intensive in comparison with the flux in the matrix. For $\lambda_{k}<1$, we have the opposite result (see [11]). In the case of imperfect contact conditions, the situation depends not only on $\lambda_{k}$ with $\lambda_{m}=1$ but also on the parameter $\gamma_{k}$ as it can be seen by analyzing formula (2.8). To show this effect, we give several examples with different parameters $\lambda_{k}$ and $\gamma_{k}$ fixing $\lambda_{m}=1$ (cf. Figures 3(5).

In the general case of composites with different random non-overlapping inclusions the tensor of effective conductivity $\Lambda_{e}$ has a form

$$
\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{e}^{x} & \lambda_{e}^{x y}  \tag{4.2}\\
\lambda_{e}^{y x} & \lambda_{e}^{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with components which can be found from the well-known equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{q}\rangle=-\Lambda_{e} \cdot\langle\nabla T\rangle, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\mathbf{q}\rangle=\left(\mathfrak{q}_{1}, \mathfrak{q}_{2}\right)$ is the average flux, and $\langle\nabla T\rangle=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ is the average temperature


Figure 3: The flux distribution inside $Q_{(0,0)}$ for $\gamma_{k}=1 / 10, \lambda_{k}=100, \theta=0 ; \pi / 4$.


Figure 4: The flux distribution inside $Q_{(0,0)}$ for $\gamma_{k}=1 / 10, \lambda_{k}=0.01, \theta=0 ; \frac{\pi}{4}$.
gradient with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}_{j}=\lambda_{m} \iint_{D_{0}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{1} d x_{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_{k} \iint_{D_{k}} \frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{1} d x_{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j}=\iint_{D_{0}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{1} d x_{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \iint_{D_{k}} \frac{\partial T_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{1} d x_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1,2$ and $x_{1}=x$ and $x_{2}=y$. These components were found in terms of the obtained solution in [11 and contain all parameters of the model. As since all formulas are valid in the case of imperfect conditions on the boundaries of components,


Figure 5: The flux distribution inside $Q_{(0,0)}$ for $\gamma_{k}=100 ; 1000, \lambda_{k}=100, \theta=0$.
we represent here only final formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{q}_{1}=-A \cos \theta, \quad \mathfrak{q}_{2}=-A \sin \theta, \\
T_{1}-\imath T_{2}=\frac{-A e^{-\imath \theta}}{\lambda_{m}}+2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{k}} \iint_{D_{k}} \psi_{k}(z) d x d y \\
=\frac{-A e^{-\imath \theta}}{\lambda_{m}}-2 \pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_{k} r_{k}^{2} \psi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We present the computations for the material conductivities $\lambda_{m}=1$ and $\lambda_{k}=100 ; 0.01$. Values of all components of the tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ as a function on the radius $R$ are presented in Tables 3•5.

Table 3: The components of the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ for the configuration of the inclusions given in (4.1) for the material constants $\lambda_{m}=1, \lambda_{k}=100, \gamma_{k}=1 / 10$, $M=7$.

| $R$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 1.000323 | $-1.3 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | 1.000316 | $-1.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.11 | 1.004139 | $-2.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 1.003657 | $-9.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.135 | 1.008968 | $-3.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 1.007228 | $-2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.145 | 1.012247 | $-6.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 1.009277 | $-6.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
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Table 4: The components of the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ for the configuration of the inclusions given in (4.1) for the material constants $\lambda_{m}=1, \lambda_{k}=0.01, \gamma_{k}=1 / 10$, $M=7$.

| $R$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 0.978881 | $8.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 0.979340 | $8.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.11 | 0.834698 | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.851255 | $5.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.135 | 0.722485 | $8.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.764132 | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 0.145 | 0.664977 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.724652 | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Table 5: The components of the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ for the configuration of the inclusions given in (4.1) for the material constants $\lambda_{m}=1, \lambda_{k}=100, \gamma_{k}=100$, $M=7$.

| $R$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y x}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ | $\lambda_{e}^{x y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 1.052660 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 1.053442 | $4.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.11 | 1.306726 | $6.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 1.340042 | $2.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.135 | 1.501538 | $2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 1.602899 | 0.001508 |
| 0.145 | 1.601547 | $3.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 1.761323 | 0.003240 |

and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology ("FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia"), within project PEst-OE/MAT/UI4106/2014. D. Kapanadze is supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation within grant FR/6/5101/12 with the number 31/39. E. Pesetskaya is supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation within grant YS/5/5-102/13 with the number 24/03.

## References

[1] Andrianov, I. V., Bolshakov, V. I., Danishevskyy, V. V., Weichert, D., Asymptotic study of imperfect interfaces in conduction through a granular composite material, Proc. R. Soc. A, 466 (2010), 2707-2725.
[2] Benveniste, Y., Miloh, T., The effective conductivity of composites with imperfect thermal contact at constituent interfaces, International Journal of Engineering Science, 24 (9) (1986), 1537-1552.
[3] Benveniste, Y., Miloh, T., Imperfect soft and stiff interfaces in two-dimensional elasticity, Mechanics of Materials, 33 (2001), 309-323.
[4] Berlyand, L., Mityushev, V., Generalized Clausius-Mossotti formula for random composite with circular fibers, J. Stat. Phys. 102 (2001), No. 1-2, 115-145.
[5] Castro, L.P., Pesetskaya, E., Rogosin, S., Effective conductivity of a composite material with non-ideal contact conditions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 54 (2009), No. 12, 1085-1100.
[6] Cheng, H., Torquato, S., Effective Conductivity of Periodic Arrays of Spheres with Interfacial Resistance, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A, 45 (1997), 145-161.
[7] Drygas P., Mityushev V., Effective conductivity of arrays of unidirectional cylinders with interfacial resistance, The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics 62 (2009), 235-262.
[8] Goncalves, L. C., Kołodziej, J. A., Determination of effective thermal conductivity in fibrous composites with imperfect contact between constituents, Inter. Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 20 (1993), 111-121.
[9] Graham, S., McDowell, D. L., Numerical analysis of the transverse thermal conductivity of composites with imperfect interfaces, Journal of Heat Transfer, 125 (2003), 389-393.
[10] Hasselman, D.P.H., Johnson, L., Effective thermal conductivity of composites with interfacial thermal barrier resistance, Journal of Composite Materials 21 (1987), 508-515.
[11] Kapanadze, D., Mishuris, G., Pesetskaya, E., Improved algorithm for analytical solution of the heat conduction problem in composites, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., to appear.
[12] Kushch, V.I., Sevostianov, Igor, V.S. Chernobai, V.S., Effective conductivity of composite with imperfect contact between elliptic fibers and matrix: Maxwells homogenization scheme International Journal of Engineering Science, in press.
[13] Lipton, R., Vernescu, B., Composites with imperfect interface, Proceedings of the Royal Society, London A 452 (1996), 329-358.
[14] Mityushev, V., Rogosin, S., Constructive Methods for Linear and Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems for Analytic Functions. Theory and Applications. Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Chapman \& Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
[15] Riva, M., Musolino, P., A singularly perturbed nonideal transmission problem and application to the effective conductivity of a periodic composite, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 73(1) (2013), 2446.
[16] Weil, A., Elliptic Functions According to Eisenstein and Kronecker (reprint of the 1976 edition), Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
[17] Wu, L., Bounds on the effective thermal conductivity of composites with imperfect interface, International Journal of Engineering Science, 48 (2010), 783-794.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the accepted version of the following article: A heat conduction problem of 2 D unbounded composites with imperfect contact conditions, L. P. Castro, D. Kapanadze, and E. Pesetskaya, ZAMM - Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 95, No. 9, 952-965 (2015) / DOI 10.1002/zamm. 201400067 which has been published in final form at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/zamm.201400067/pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ Corresponding author.

