

# On Augmented Reality some recent work for Industry

Beatriz Sousa Santos, colleagues & students DETI/IEETA University of Aveiro, Portugal



November, 2021

### Before I knew about AR ...







In the 90's

2000's





# Usable and affordable VR Exploring:

- interaction devices and methods
- Setups and systems
- Applications





### And AR ...

- Exploring AR for:
- Quasi-continuous experiences
- Easily setting augmented environments in AR or VR
- Collaboration (also hybrid AR/VR)





• But after all what defines Augmented Reality

and how did it evolve?

These ideas are not new ...



The ultimate display?

"... a room within which the computer can control the existence of matter..."

(Sutherland, 1965)

The first Augmented Reality (AR) system .... (Sutherland, 1968)

50+ years later: still far from Sutherland's ultimate display ...

### Disseminated by Sci-Fi ...

"Help Me, Obi-Wan Kenobi. You're My Only Hope" (Star Wars, 1977)



Several realities ...

• Virtual Reality (VR)

• Augmented Reality (AR)

• Mixed Reality (MR)

• Extended Reality (XR)

### AR is part of the Reality Virtuality "Continuum"

"Augmenting natural feedback to the operator with simulated cues" (Milgram & Kishino, 1994)

Mixed Reality (MR)



(Steinicke et al., 2009)

### Has a plethora of potential compelling AR applications

"make the computer **interface invisible** and make interacting with the computer **as natural as interacting with real world** objects, removing the separation between the digital and physical" (Billinghurst et al., 2015)

AR interfaces are designed to enhance interactions in the real world



### Awareness, interest and adoption of AR



(Google trends – Augmented reality, Worldwide)

Pokémon Go demonstrated AR's potential to be adopted by mainstream culture

The global AR services market is expected grow 5x until 2025

### Several terms and definitions

M. Speicher, B. D. Hall, and M. Nebeling, "What is Mixed Reality ?," *CHI '19 Proc. SIGCHI Conf. Hum. Factors Comput. Syst.*, 2019.



AR vs MR: branding strategy, interaction, believability?

Recent umbrella term - Extended Reality (XR)

### Gartner's Hype cycle - AR Last appearance

### 2018



# Gartner's Hype cycle - AR no longer appears **2019**



Expanding from a research field into commercially viable technologies ...

# Augmented Reality ...

(Azuma, 1997)

1) combines real and virtual images,

2) is **interactive** in real time,

3) the virtual imagery is registered in 3D

• Requires:



# Has evolved and Mobile AR provides an easily accessible entry point

the true potential is achieved through - HMDs,

- a richer interaction,
- better tracking

In some niche situations, projection AR (SAR) may be Interesting



# Research on Augmented Reality (2008-2017) (Kim et al., 2018)



### Needed Research on Augmented Reality (Billinghurst, 2021)

1) Displays,

2) Interaction,

3) Tracking,

4) Collaboration,

5) Perception and Neuroscience,

6) Social and Ethical issues

+ Evaluation

### VARLab @ IEETA

- Visual / Interactive systems and methods:
  - Useful
  - Usable Human-centered!
  - Affordable
- Conceptual, experimental/empirical, and/or applied/real-world



### AR research @ IEETA

- Collaboration Conceptual: taxonomy roadmap evaluation Experimental: comparing methods
- Interaction Experimental:

#### comparing methods in assembly

hybrid systems: AR + VR to configure Augmented spaces Applied:

### AR for manufacturing

Conceptual:

Situated Visualization

### Some recent works:

Conceptual

AR-based Collaboration, Situated Visualization

- Experimental AR for assembly
- Applied AR for manufacturing





### I- A Conceptual Model and Taxonomy for Collaborative AR

- AR has been explored to assist in scenarios of collaboration
- It is vital to understand what is involved in AR-mediated collaboration
- To create a common ground for systematization and discussion
- And inform the creation of new methods and systems (Marques, Silva et al., 2021)



### Conceptual Model and Taxonomy for Collaborative AR

- Understanding the problem involved:
- Focus group session at an Industrial partner including:
  - Target users and other stakeholders
  - AR researchers
- Brainstorming sessions ...



### Conceptual Model and Taxonomy for Collaborative AR

- We propose a human-centered taxonomy for the categorization of the main features of Collaborative AR
- To help create a common ground for systematization and discussion
- Present examples of the use of the taxonomy
- Illustrating its potential as the grounds to elicit further studies

### A Conceptual Model for Collaborative AR



### A Human-centered Taxonomy for Collaborative AR



### Visually exploring the Taxonomy for Collaborative AR

- Visual exploration of a corpus of papers using the taxonomy
- To identify research trends and opportunities
- Each paper is represented by slicing and dividing rings of a sunburst

Number of papers is encoded by colour



10 9 8

1 n

(Marques, Araújo et al., 2021)



# Comparing Collaborative AR publications: based on the taxonomy





	Works
	Gupta et al. Year: 2016
4110	Teo et al. Year: 2019
1/1/1	Wang et al. Year: 2019
	Kim et al. Yean 2019
alam subspor In-Appan bogs and In-Appan bogs and In-Appan New Appa	Kim et al. Year: 2018
And a	Aschenbrenner et al. Year: 2018
in the	Teo et al. Year: 2019
5	Piumsomboon et al. Year: 2019
	Piumsomboon et al. Year: 2017

### Web-based visualization tool

- Explore the taxonomy to get insights on trends, opportunities, ...
- Filter works by year, display a specific work, compare ...





### User study

- Understand if the tool may be useful/usable to analyse a corpus
- 40 participants (36 experienced in visualization)
- Tasks and survey (SUS + specific questions) -> SUS ~ 72

### Take-away

- AR has potential to ease remote collaboration
- It is vital to consider aspects beyond technology
- A Human-centered approach is fundamental
- Visual exploration helps **understand the body of knowledge**
- Explore trends and **identify research opportunities**

- Assembly requires more than ever new ways to improve efficiency
- AR has been used to enhance environments and influence UX
- AR-based methods can support users in assembly procedures
- More studies are needed

(Alves, Marques et al., 2021)



- Evaluate three different **AR-based methods** 
  - mobile AR,
  - indirect AR,
  - see-through HMD

- User study to assess
  - performance,
  - mental/physical workload,
  - preferences



• H<sub>0</sub> = all methods lead to similar user performance and acceptance

Three experimental conditions (independent/input variables):



**Mobile AR** 

**Indirect AR** 

HMD (see-through)

- Experimental design: Within Groups (condition order randomized)
- Dependent (output variables):
  - Performance (times and types of errors)
  - mental/physical workload,
  - opinion
- Secondary variables:
  - order in using the conditions
  - demographic data
  - previous experience with AR and assembly

• Tasks:

Assembly of 18 building blocks in 18 step-by step 3D instructions

• Analysis:

EDA, non-parametric tests multivariate analysis



Virtual model

Thirty participants

Similar but different goals for different conditions







#### Types of errors for conditions

Condition	Errors			
	E_Color	E_Location	E_shape	
Mob	0	9	15	
Ind	0	1	7	
HMD	3	16	3	

- Main results
  - all methods may support users
  - no "best method" concerning performance and preferences
  - insights on the strengths and weaknesses of each method
  - identifying guidelines for specific use cases

- Future work
  - Improve the methods to overcome technical limitations
  - Further study with more:
    - complex tasks to better differentiate between methods
    - realistic settings (noise, illumination, movement, ...)

### Take-away

- User studies and controlled experiments are fundamental to obtain insight and improve methods/systems
- In controlled experiments it is essential to:
  - Clearly formulate objectives and hypotheses
  - Carefully select the experimental method
  - Involve an adequate number of participants
  - Register quantitative and qualitative data
  - Use adequate data analysis
- Data analysis expertise is required from the beginning!

- Quality control procedures are essential in industrial production
- Using AR, operators can focus on the task and get visual feedback
- Only early prototypes exist
- More real cases are needed



(Alves, Marques et al., 2021)

• AR-based quality control system to help perform real-time validation



Implying complex steps and movements

- AR-based quality control system to guide operators, perform real-time validation, and create instructional contents
- Evaluated:
  - in an industrial shop floor
  - 1 week,
  - 7 operators

(experienced and non-experienced)

 To improve robustness and understand gains



• Helping on a **complex real task**:

measuring deviation errors of an automotive part at specific positions, an important specification of the clients, resulting in much waste

- Identified by domain experts
- In several visits and meetings

**User-centered** approach



• Inefficient workflow:

- taking several measures in different places
- using a keyboard
- confirming by looking at a display



- A vision-based method:
- triggers the measurement when the device is correctly positioned
- moves to the next stage showing the next location
- without any user intervention



- the validation process can be used to create virtual content based on human demonstration
- a 3D model of the automotive part was augmented with a virtual 3D object indicating the next measurement



- User study:
- Assessing robustness and comparing with the video-based method currently used
  - In the shop-floor
  - Real quality assurance task
  - 7 operators (4 unexperienced)
  - 1 week



- All operators used both methods (randomized)



- With the proposed method:
  - Operators were faster regardless of experience
  - Difference between unexperienced and experienced operators decreased

- The AR-based method seems **robust enough**
- provided a ~60% increase in efficiency implying a significant potential financial gain
- Improved the learning curve
- The industrial partner is **interested in deploying the system** in several other machines

### Take-away

• AR has potential to **increase productivity** 

**by improving KPIs** (e.g. rate, count, rejection rate)

and decreasing training costs

**contributing to a leaner approach** in several ways, reducing time, waste, motion, and additional work

• A Human-centered approach is fundamental

### What future?

- There are still many challenges
  - technical aspects
  - human and social factors

to the general use of AR technology as a new form of media

• I believe the interest in AR/MR will continue increasing,

toward the vision of a more **pervasive presence** in our lives

### "Each new technology is just a new technology, it will have a lot of enthusiasm about what you could do with it that you couldn't do before, but it will need a lot of research to understand how it can be used to support us humans in the way we want to be supported"

(Sheelagh Carpendale, 2020)



#### Acknowledgments

To all colleagues and students that have contributed in any way ... As well as Institutions

### References

G. Anthes, "Augmented Reality Gets Real," Commun. ACM, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 16–18, 2019.

R. T. Azuma, "The road to ubiquitous consumer augmented reality systems," *Hum. Behav. Emerg. Technol.*, December 2018, pp. 26–32, 2019.

M. Billinghurst, "Grand Challenges for Augmented Reality," *Front. Virtual Real.*, vol. 2, no. March, pp. 1–4, 2021.

M. Billinghurst, A. Clark, and G. Lee, "A Survey of Augmented Reality," *Found. Trends Human-Computer Interact.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 73–272, 2015.

J. Grubert, T. Langlotz, S. Zollmann, and H. Regenbrecht, "Towards Pervasive Augmented Reality :," *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comp. Graph.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1706–1724, 2017.

K. Kim, M. Billinghurst, G. Bruder, H. Duh, and G. Welch, "Revisiting Trends in Augmented Reality Research: A Review of the 2nd Decade of ISMAR (2008–2017)," *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comp. Graph.*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2947–2962, 2018

M. Speicher, B. D. Hall, and M. Nebeling, "What is Mixed Reality ?," *CHI '19 Proc. SIGCHI Conf. Hum. Factors Comput. Syst.*, 2019.

#### Works

J. Alves, B. Marques, P. Dias, B. Sousa Santos, "Using augmented reality for industrial quality assurance: a shop floor user study", *Int. J. Advanced Manufacturing Tech.* May, 2021

J. Alves, B. Marques, C. Ferreira, P. Dias, B. Sousa Santos, "Comparing Augmented Reality visualization methods for assembly procedures", *Virtual Reality*, June, 2021

B. Marques, S. Silva, J. Alves, T. Araújo, P. Dias, B. Sousa Santos, "A conceptual model and taxonomy for collaborative Augmented Reality", *IEEE Trans. Vis. and Comp. Graph.*, 2021

B. Marques, T. Araújo, S. Silva, J. Alves, P. Dias, B. Sousa Santos, "Visually exploring a Collaborative Augmented Taxonomy", Int. Conf. Information Visualization, IV2021

N. Martins, B Marques, J. Alves, T. Araújo, P. Dias, B. Sousa Santos, "Augmented Reality situated Visualization in decision-making", *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, May, 2021