

# Improving the JPEG-LS compression of images with locally sparse histograms

António J. R. Neves

Armando J. Pinho

Dept. of Electronics and Telecommunications / IEETA  
University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

**Abstract** – In this paper, we propose a preprocessing technique that is capable of improving the compression of images that have locally sparse intensity histograms. In this case, (global) off-line histogram packing may be unsuitable. However, by reducing the size of the symbol-set used by the packing procedure, we are able to attain globally better results, being some of them quite dramatic.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Off-line histogram packing is a known method capable of producing improvements if applied prior to the lossless compression of images having sparse histograms. Basically, off-line histogram packing relies on the construction of an one-to-one order-preserving mapping from the image intensity values into a contiguous subset of the integers. For constructing this mapping we need to know, *a priori*, which intensity values are present in the image. If that is unknown, which is the most often case, then we need to perform a pass through the image in order to find out those intensity values. In the latter case, the complete encoding process, i.e., histogram packing and lossless image coding, cannot be performed on-line. On-line strategies have been recently proposed, some of them designed specifically for a particular encoding algorithm [1, 2], some others designed to act as a preprocessing stage detached from the particular encoding algorithm that is used [3].

Generally, the off-line histogram packing method is very effective in images characterized by having sparse histograms. This can be verified in the examples given in Table I, where compression ratios obtained before and after off-line histogram packing are presented. As can be observed, the compression improvement after off-line histogram packing is very significant for images having sparse histograms, i.e., the images in the first group and some of the images in the third group.

However, generally, image data are not stationary. Therefore, a global histogram may not express correctly how intensities are used in different parts of the image. In the remainder of this paper we present an approach that aims at exploring non-stationary characteristics of the intensity histogram.

## II. THE PACKING ALGORITHM

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of all different intensity values used by a given image, and by  $S \in \mathbb{N}$  some predefined

This work was supported in part by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT). Corresponding author: Armando J. Pinho, E-mail: ap@det.ua.pt, URL: www.ieeta.pt/~ap.

value. During the processing of sample  $x^t$ ,<sup>1</sup> which generates a transformed sample  $y^t$  at time instant  $t$ , we assume that a previously constructed subset of  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_n^t$ , is available:

$$\mathcal{I}_n^t = \{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{n-1}\}, \quad n \leq S.$$

Moreover, we assume without loss of generality that  $I_i < I_j, \forall i < j$ , and that the following one-to-one, order-preserving mapping in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is also available:

$$h^t = (I_0 \mapsto 0, I_1 \mapsto 1, \dots, I_{n-1} \mapsto n-1).$$

Sample  $x^t$  is processed as follows. If  $x^t \in \mathcal{I}_n^t$ , then  $y^t = h^t(x^t)$ . However, if  $x^t \notin \mathcal{I}_n^t$ , then  $y^t = n$ , which is the first element of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  that does not belong to  $h^t(\mathcal{I}_n^t)$ . In this case, the intensity value  $\tilde{I} = x^t$  is stored into a file, which is used for later recovery of the original image intensity values. We call this file the “recovery file”.

The occurrence of an intensity not belonging to  $\mathcal{I}_n^t$  also implies the rearrangement of the mapping, which depends on whether  $n = S$  or not. If  $n < S$ , and assuming that  $I_i < \tilde{I} < I_{i+1}$ , then the new mapping is:

$$h^{t+1} = (\dots, I_i \mapsto i, \tilde{I} \mapsto i+1, I_{i+1} \mapsto i+2, \dots, I_{n-1} \mapsto n).$$

As can be seen,  $\tilde{I}$  is inserted in the mapping in such a way that the one-to-one, order-preserving property is maintained. On the other hand, if  $n = S$ , in addition to the inclusion of  $\tilde{I}$  in the mapping, as described above, it is also required the deletion of one of the members of  $\mathcal{I}_n^t$  (i.e., the cardinality of the set is kept equal to  $S$ ). Also in this case, the mapping has to be rearranged in order to obey to the one-to-one, order-preserving property.

Decoding is performed using a similar strategy as encoding. When decoding sample  $y^t$ , if  $y^t < S$ , then  $x^t = (h^t)^{-1}(y^t)$ .<sup>2</sup> Otherwise, an intensity value,  $\tilde{I}$ , is fetched from the recovery file and  $x^t = \tilde{I}$ . The mapping is always reorganized following the same procedures as those performed by the encoder.

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Table I presents compression results using the most recent ISO/IEC standard and ITU recommendation for the lossless compression of continuous-tone images: JPEG-LS [4, 5] (we used the implementation provided by the Signal Processing & Multimedia Group at the University of

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the image samples have been transformed into a sample sequence,  $x^t$ , using some image scanning strategy. In this work we used a raster-scanning approach.

<sup>2</sup>Notation  $(h^t)^{-1}$  indicates a mapping that is the inverse of  $h^t$ .

| Image        | Intensities | Normal           |       | Off-line packing |       |             | Proposed method  |       |             |     |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------|-------------|-----|
|              |             | Size             | bps   | Size             | bps   | %           | Size             | bps   | %           | S   |
| benjerry     | 48          | 6,707            | 1.919 | 4,881            | 1.396 | 27.2        | 4,784            | 1.368 | 28.7        | 26  |
| books        | 7           | 39,859           | 5.601 | 13,396           | 1.882 | 66.4        | 11,657           | 1.638 | 70.8        | 5   |
| cmpnnd       | 133         | 71,469           | 1.454 | 62,431           | 1.270 | 12.6        | 61,733           | 1.255 | 13.6        | 108 |
| cmpndn       | 132         | 58,639           | 1.193 | 51,619           | 1.050 | 12.0        | 51,298           | 1.043 | 12.5        | 106 |
| gate         | 69          | 27,656           | 3.632 | 20,718           | 2.721 | 25.1        | 21,692           | 2.848 | 21.6        | 64  |
| music        | 8           | 4,534            | 2.943 | 1,747            | 1.134 | 61.5        | 1,894            | 1.229 | 58.2        | 8   |
| netscape     | 27          | 21,249           | 2.777 | 13,191           | 1.724 | 37.9        | 12,957           | 1.693 | 39.0        | 26  |
| sea_dusk     | 43          | 4,061            | 0.206 | 3,479            | 0.176 | 14.3        | 1,446            | 0.073 | 64.4        | 3   |
| sunset       | 138         | 83,552           | 2.175 | 75,412           | 1.963 | 9.7         | 74,761           | 1.946 | 10.5        | 136 |
| winaw        | 10          | 48,189           | 1.309 | 20,102           | 0.546 | 58.3        | 19,286           | 0.524 | 60.0        | 7   |
| yahoo        | 156         | 8,822            | 2.600 | 8,401            | 2.476 | 4.8         | 7,382            | 2.175 | 16.3        | 3   |
| <b>Total</b> | —           | <b>374,737</b>   | —     | <b>275,377</b>   | —     | <b>26.5</b> | <b>268,890</b>   | —     | <b>28.2</b> | —   |
| aerial2      | 256         | 2,772,925        | 5.288 | 2,773,183        | 5.289 | 0.0         | 2,250,493        | 4.292 | 18.8        | 117 |
| bike         | 220         | 2,854,695        | 4.355 | 2,600,571        | 3.968 | 8.9         | 2,604,249        | 3.973 | 8.8         | 220 |
| bikeh        | 220         | 144,412          | 5.516 | 134,535          | 5.139 | 6.8         | 136,167          | 5.201 | 5.7         | 220 |
| cafe         | 220         | 3,336,249        | 5.090 | 3,238,178        | 4.941 | 2.9         | 3,238,527        | 4.941 | 2.9         | 220 |
| goldhill     | 220         | 154,391          | 4.711 | 154,637          | 4.719 | -0.2        | 155,609          | 4.748 | -0.8        | 204 |
| lena         | 215         | 139,106          | 4.245 | 139,340          | 4.252 | -0.2        | 140,146          | 4.276 | -0.7        | 209 |
| woman        | 220         | 220,671          | 5.387 | 212,511          | 5.188 | 3.7         | 212,998          | 5.200 | 3.5         | 220 |
| <b>Total</b> | —           | <b>9,622,449</b> | —     | <b>9,252,955</b> | —     | <b>3.8</b>  | <b>8,738,189</b> | —     | <b>9.2</b>  | —   |
| france       | 249         | 58,792           | 1.411 | 58,602           | 1.406 | 0.3         | 19,812           | 0.475 | 66.3        | 3   |
| frog         | 102         | 233,831          | 6.048 | 200,089          | 5.175 | 14.4        | 176,525          | 4.566 | 24.5        | 11  |
| library      | 221         | 104,140          | 5.100 | 102,217          | 5.006 | 1.8         | 100,139          | 4.904 | 3.8         | 174 |
| mountain     | 110         | 246,604          | 6.421 | 201,417          | 5.245 | 18.3        | 198,728          | 5.175 | 19.4        | 95  |
| washsat      | 35          | 135,309          | 4.129 | 65,734           | 2.006 | 51.4        | 65,767           | 2.007 | 51.4        | 35  |
| <b>Total</b> | —           | <b>778,676</b>   | —     | <b>628,059</b>   | —     | <b>19.3</b> | <b>560,971</b>   | —     | <b>28.0</b> | —   |

Table I

COMPRESSION RESULTS (NUMBER OF BYTES AND BITS/SAMPLE) OBTAINED WITH JPEG-LS APPLIED DIRECTLY TO THE IMAGES (“NORMAL”), APPLIED AFTER OFF-LINE PACKING (“OFF-LINE PACKING”), AND APPLIED AFTER USING THE METHOD PROPOSED IN THIS PAPER (“PROPOSED METHOD”). PERCENTAGES REPRESENT COMPRESSION GAINS IN RELATION TO THE “NORMAL” VALUES.

British Columbia (SPMG / JPEG-LS V.2.2 codec, ftp://spmg.ece.ubc.ca/pub/jpeg-ls/ver-2.2/).

To assess the efficiency of the proposed technique we used three sets of images. Two of them were those used by Yoo *et al.* to test the efficiency of EIDAC, an embedded image-domain adaptive compression technique, specialized in the compression of “simple images” (expression used by some authors to designate images with sparse histograms) [6]. The first set (corresponding to the first group of images in Table I) is a gray-scale-converted version of a set used by Ausbeck in its PWC coder [7].

The second set (second group in Table I) comprises several natural images and has the objective of testing the robustness of the method in images that are not “simple” (this set was also used in [6]). The third set (last group of images in Table I) is composed of five images taken from the Brag-Zone archive. This set was recently used to illustrate the poor performance of JPEG-LS in compressing this type of images [8].

From the observation of the “Total” rows in Table I, we can immediately conclude that the proposed method provides globally better results than the normal off-line packing. Looking at individual images, we can notice some dramatic improvements. That is the case of image “france”, which gets a compression improvement of 66%. The compression of image “sea\_dusk” improves 58%. Also, a considerable 19% improvement can be noticed in the compression of image “aerial2”. This percentages represent improvements over the normal off-line packing method. Other less dramatic but also important improvements can be observed in other images.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ISO/IEC 14495-2, *Information technology - Lossless and near-lossless compression of continuous-tone still images: extensions*, 2000.
- [2] A. J. Pinho, “On the impact of histogram sparseness on some lossless image compression techniques”, in *Proc. of the 8th IEEE Int. Conf. on Image Processing, ICIP-2001*, Thessaloniki, Greece, Oct. 2001, vol. III, pp. 442–445.
- [3] A. J. Pinho, “An online preprocessing technique for improving the lossless compression of images with sparse histograms”, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 5–7, Jan. 2002.
- [4] ISO/IEC 14495-1 and ITU Recommendation T.87, *Information technology - Lossless and near-lossless compression of continuous-tone still images*, 1999.
- [5] M. J. Weinberger, G. Seroussi, and G. Sapiro, “The LOCO-I lossless image compression algorithm: principles and standardization into JPEG-LS”, *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1309–1324, Aug. 2000.
- [6] Y. Yoo, Y. G. Kwon, and A. Ortega, “Embedded image-domain compression using context models”, in *Proc. of the 6th IEEE Int. Conf. on Image Processing, ICIP-99*, Kobe, Japan, Oct. 1999, vol. I, pp. 477–481.
- [7] P. J. Ausbeck Jr., “The piecewise-constant image model”, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 88, no. 11, pp. 1779–1789, Nov. 2000.
- [8] B. Carpentieri, M. J. Weinberger, and G. Seroussi, “Lossless compression of continuous-tone images”, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 88, no. 11, pp. 1797–1809, Nov. 2000.